

2.6 Correspondence Naturality \leftrightarrow Reflectivity)

The paper quoted to say

states of $\eta = +1(-1)$ can be shown to correspond to natural (unnatural) parity exchange in a t -channel model in the limit of small $1/s$.

(quote from [28] (p. 289)) is [29]. So far I have failed to find the statement in there. The necessary requisites for the proof are contained in the predecessor paper [30]. My (trivial) proof based on it goes as follows: one has for t -channel helicity amplitudes in a notation similar to [31] (phase factors differ, **also compare [32]**):

$$\mathcal{M}_{\lambda_3\lambda_1\lambda_4\lambda_2}^J = \eta\eta_4\eta_2(-1)^{J+s_4-s_2}\mathcal{M}_{\lambda_3\lambda_1-\lambda_4-\lambda_2}^J, \quad (7)$$

for the J th partial wave in the scattering process $1 + 2 \rightarrow 3 + 4$ where a particle with intrinsic parity η is exchanged. λ_i , s_i and η_i refer to the helicity, spin and intrinsic parity of particle i . The t -channel helicity amplitude (leaving aside other partial waves to avoid clutter) is then

$$\mathcal{M}_{\lambda_3\lambda_1\lambda_4\lambda_2} = (2J+1)d_{\lambda\mu}^J(\cos\theta_t)\mathcal{M}_{\lambda_3\lambda_1\lambda_4\lambda_2}^J \quad (8)$$

with $\lambda = \lambda_1 - \lambda_3$, $\mu = \lambda_2 - \lambda_4$. Now in the forward-region of the s -channel process, $s \gg t$ and thus $\cos\theta_t$ large. The asymptotic behavior of the Wigner functions is

$$d_{-\lambda\mu}^J(\cos\theta_t) \xrightarrow{\cos\theta_t \rightarrow \infty} (-1)^\lambda d_{\lambda\mu}^J(\cos\theta_t). \quad (9)$$

In this limit one then has

$$\mathcal{M}_{\lambda_3\lambda_1\lambda_4\lambda_2} \rightarrow \eta\eta_4\eta_2(-1)^J(-1)^{\lambda_4-\lambda_2}(-1)^{s_4-s_2}\mathcal{M}_{\lambda_3\lambda_1-\lambda_4-\lambda_2}. \quad (10)$$

With this at hand, we can prove the statement that we're after. Taking the reaction $p(\frac{1}{2}^+) + \pi(0^-) \rightarrow p(\frac{1}{2}^+) + X((s_4)^{\eta_4})$, where X is produced in a state of defined reflectivity ϵ (where the sign convention is as above **i.e. Suh-Urk's reflectivity = naturality convention, make sure this is the case or flip signs!**), and assuming that X decays into two pseudoscalars, which implies $\eta_4 = (-1)^{s_4}$, one then writes the scattering amplitude with defined reflectivity of X (and hence λ_4 non-negative),

$$\mathcal{M}_{\lambda_3\lambda_1\lambda_4 0}^\epsilon \equiv \mathcal{M}_{\lambda_3\lambda_1\lambda_4 0} - \epsilon(-1)^{\lambda_4}\mathcal{M}_{\lambda_3\lambda_1-\lambda_4 0}. \quad (11)$$

Inserting (10), this reduces to

$$\mathcal{M}_{\lambda_3\lambda_1\lambda_4 0}^\epsilon \rightarrow (1 \pm \epsilon)\mathcal{M}_{\lambda_3\lambda_1\lambda_4 0} \quad (12)$$

where $+$ ($-$) corresponds to natural (unnatural) exchange. This proves the statement. For $\lambda_4 = 0$, the statement holds exactly because eq. (9) trivially holds for all values of $\cos\theta_t$.

- [18] J. Orear, “Notes on statistics for physicists.” University of California rep. UCRL-8417, 1958. Cited on pages 5 and 17.
- [19] R. Barlow, “Extended maximum likelihood,” *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **297** no. 3, (1990) 496 – 506. Cited on pages 5 and 17.
- [20] E. P. Wigner, “Lower Limit for the Energy Derivative of the Scattering Phase Shift,” *Phys. Rev.* **98** (1955) 145–147. Cited on page 5.
- [21] G. Ascoli and H. W. Wyld, “Unitary States for Three Pions,” *Phys. Rev.* **D12** (1975) 43. Cited on page 5.
- [22] K. L. Kowalski, “Minimal 3-to-3 scattering amplitudes,” *Phys. Rev.* **D7** no. 10, (1973) 2957–2960. Cited on page 5.
- [23] E. Barrelet, “a New point of view in the analysis of two-body reactions,” *Nuovo Cim.* **A8** (1972) 331–371. Cited on pages 5, 13, 18, and 22.
- [24] A. J. Van Horn, “Resonance Ambiguities, Barrelet Zeros, and Duality in $K^-p \rightarrow \Lambda\pi^0$,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B87** (1975) 157. Cited on page 5.
- [25] S. U. Chung, “Techniques of amplitude analysis for two pseudoscalar systems,” *Phys. Rev.* **D56** (1997) 7299–7316. Cited on pages 5, 14, 18, 20, 22, and 23.
- [26] S. U. Chung and T. L. Trueman, “Positivity conditions on the spin density matrix: A simple parametrization,” *Phys. Rev.* **D11** (1975) 633–646. Cited on pages 5, 16, 18, 20, and 22.
- [27] A. Bohr, “Relations between intrinsic parities and polarization in collision and decay processes,” *Nucl. Phys.* **10** (1959) 486–491. Cited on page 6.
- [28] **Bologna-Florence-Genoa-Milan-Oxford-Pavia** Collaboration, G. Thompson, P. Antich, A. Boldetti, A. Cartacci, G. Costa, N. W. Dawes, G. D. Caporiacco, A. Forino, J. L. Lloyd, L. Mapelli, S. J. O. Gann, A. Q. Vignudelli, D. Radojicic, S. Ratti, G. Tomasini, and U. Trevisan, “Partial-wave analyses of the $(3\pi)^-$ system in the reaction $\pi^-p \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^-\pi^+p$ at 11.2 GeV/c,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B101** no. 2, (1975) 285 – 303. Cited on pages 6 and 7.
- [29] J. Ader, M. Capdeville, G. Cohen-Tannoudji, and P. Salin, “Splitting of the cross-section into natural and unnatural parity exchange contributions for quasi-two-body reactions,” *Nuovo Cim. A* **56** (1968)

- 952–966. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02751610>.
10.1007/BF02751610. Cited on page 7.
- [30] G. Cohen-Tannoudji, P. Salin, and A. Morel, “A simple formulation of high-energy exchange models in terms of direct-channel amplitudes,” *Il Nuovo Cimento A (1965-1970)* **55** (1968) 412–422.
Cited on page 7.
- [31] M. Jacob and G. Wick, “On the general theory of collisions for particles with spin,” *Ann. Phys.* **7** (1959) 404–428.
Cited on pages 7, 11, and 12.
- [32] C. Bourrely, J. Soffer, and E. Leader, “Polarization Phenomena in Hadronic Reactions,” *Phys. Rept.* **59** (1980) 95–297.
Cited on pages 7, 11, and 12.
- [33] K. L. Au, D. Morgan, and M. R. Pennington, “Meson Dynamics Beyond the Quark Model: A Study of Final State Interactions,” *Phys. Rev.* **D35** (1987) 1633. Cited on pages 8 and 10.
- [34] D. Morgan and M. R. Pennington, “New data on the $K\bar{K}$ threshold region and the nature of the $f_0(S^*)$,” *Phys. Rev.* **D48** (1993) 1185–1204. Cited on page 8.
- [35] D. Aston *et al.*, “A study of the $K_S^0 K_S^0$ system in the reaction $K^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 \Lambda$ at 11 GeV/c,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B301** (1988) 525–553.
Cited on page 8.
- [36] **Crystal Barrel** Collaboration, A. Abele *et al.*, “Observation of $f_0(1500)$ decay into $K_L K_L$,” *Phys. Lett.* **B385** (1996) 425–432.
Cited on pages 8 and 26.
- [37] S. M. Flatté, “Coupled-Channel Analysis of the $\pi\eta$ and $K\bar{K}$ Systems Near $K\bar{K}$ Threshold,” *Phys. Lett.* **B63** (1976) 224.
Cited on page 8.
- [38] A. Abele *et al.*, “ $\bar{p}p$ annihilation at rest into $K_L K^\pm \pi^\mp$,” *Phys. Rev.* **D57** (Apr, 1998) 3860–3872. Cited on page 8.
- [39] A. C. Irving, A. D. Martin, and P. J. Done, “On the Nature of the S^* and Related Scalar Resonances,” *Z. Phys.* **C10** (1981) 45.
Cited on page 8.
- [40] N. A. Törnqvist, “Understanding the scalar meson $q\bar{q}$ nonet,” *Z. Phys.* **C68** (1995) 647–660, [arXiv:hep-ph/9504372](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9504372).
Cited on page 8.
- [41] J. Weinstein and N. Isgur, “ $qq\bar{q}\bar{q}$ system in a potential model,” *Phys. Rev.* **D27** (1983) 588. Cited on page 8.