Submit only ONE copy of this form **for each PI/PD and co-PI/PD** identified on the proposal. The form(s) should be attached to the original proposal as specified in GPG Section II.B. *DO NOT INCLUDE THIS FORM WITH ANY OF THE OTHER COPIES OF YOUR PROPOSAL AS THIS MAY COMPRISE THE CONFIDENTIALITY OF THE INFORMATION.* 

PI/PD Name:	Roscoe C Giles							
Gender:		$\boxtimes$	Male	Fema	ale			
Ethnicity: (Choose	e one response)		Hispanic or Latino		Not Hispanic or Latino			
Race:			American Indian or	Alask	a Native			
(Select one or more	e)		Asian					
		$\boxtimes$	Black or African Am	ericar	1			
			Native Hawaiian or	Other	Pacific Islander			
			White					
Disability Status:			Hearing Impairment	t				
(Select one or more	9)		Visual Impairment					
			Mobility/Orthopedic Impairment					
			Other					
		$\boxtimes$	None					
Citizenship: (Cł	noose one)	$\boxtimes$	U.S. Citizen		Permanent Resident		Other non-U.S. Citizen	
Check here if you	do not wish to provid	de an	y or all of the above	infor	mation (excluding PI/PD na	me):		
REQUIRED: Chec project 🛛	k here if you are curre	ently	serving (or have pr	eviou	sly served) as a PI, co-PI or	PD on a	ny federally funded	
of race. Race Definitions: American Indian of America), and who	<ul> <li>A person of Mexican</li> <li>A person of Alaska Native. A person maintains tribal affiliati</li> </ul>	rson on or	having origins in any community attachme	of the ent.	Central American, or other S original peoples of North and ast, Southeast Asia, or the Ind	South A	merica (including Central	

example, Cambodia, China, India, Japan, Korea, Malaysia, Pakistan, the Philippine Islands, Thailand, and Vietnam.

Black or African American. A person having origins in any of the black racial groups of Africa.

Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander. A person having origins in any of the original peoples of Hawaii, Guam, Samoa, or other Pacific Islands.

White. A person having origins in any of the original peoples of Europe, the Middle East, or North Africa.

## WHY THIS INFORMATION IS BEING REQUESTED:

The Federal Government has a continuing commitment to monitor the operation of its review and award processes to identify and address any inequities based on gender, race, ethnicity, or disability of its proposed PIs/PDs. To gather information needed for this important tasks, the proposer should submit a single copy of this form for each identified PI/PD with each proposal. Submission of the requested information is voluntary and is not a precondition of award. However, information not submitted will seriously undermine the statistical validity, and therefore the usefulness, of information recieved from others. Any individual not wishing to submit some or all the information should check the box provided for this purpose. (The exceptions are the PI/PD name and the information about prior Federal support, the last question above.)

Submit only ONE copy of this form **for each PI/PD and co-PI/PD** identified on the proposal. The form(s) should be attached to the original proposal as specified in GPG Section II.B. *DO NOT INCLUDE THIS FORM WITH ANY OF THE OTHER COPIES OF YOUR PROPOSAL AS THIS MAY COMPRISE THE CONFIDENTIALITY OF THE INFORMATION*.

PI/PD Name: Geoffrey C Fox				-				
Gender:	$\boxtimes$	Male	Fem	ale				
Ethnicity: (Choose one response)		Hispanic or Latino	$\boxtimes$	Not Hispanic or Latino				
Race:		American Indian or	r Alask	a Native				
(Select one or more)		Asian						
		Black or African American						
		Native Hawaiian or	r Other	Pacific Islander				
	$\boxtimes$	White						
Disability Status:		Hearing Impairmer	nt					
(Select one or more)		Visual Impairment						
		Mobility/Orthopedic Impairment						
		Other						
		None						
Citizenship: (Choose one)	$\boxtimes$	U.S. Citizen		Permanent Resident		Other non-U.S. Citizen		
Check here if you do not wish to prov	vide an	y or all of the abov	e info	rmation (excluding PI/PD na	ame):	$\boxtimes$		
REQUIRED: Check here if you are cu project 🛛 🔀	rrently	serving (or have p	reviou	sly served) as a PI, co-PI o	r PD on a	ny federally funded		
Ethnicity Definition: Hispanic or Latino. A person of Mexica of race. Race Definitions: American Indian or Alaska Native. A p America), and who maintains tribal affilia Asian. A person having origins in any o	person ation or f the or	having origins in any community attachm iginal peoples of the	y of the nent. Far Ea	e original peoples of North an ast, Southeast Asia, or the In	d South A dian subc	merica (including Central ontinent including, for		
example, Cambodia, China, India, Japa	n, Kore	a, Malaysia, Pakista	in, the	Philippine Islands, Thailand,	and Vietn	am.		

Black or African American. A person having origins in any of the black racial groups of Africa.

Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander. A person having origins in any of the original peoples of Hawaii, Guam, Samoa, or other Pacific Islands.

White. A person having origins in any of the original peoples of Europe, the Middle East, or North Africa.

## WHY THIS INFORMATION IS BEING REQUESTED:

The Federal Government has a continuing commitment to monitor the operation of its review and award processes to identify and address any inequities based on gender, race, ethnicity, or disability of its proposed PIs/PDs. To gather information needed for this important tasks, the proposer should submit a single copy of this form for each identified PI/PD with each proposal. Submission of the requested information is voluntary and is not a precondition of award. However, information not submitted will seriously undermine the statistical validity, and therefore the usefulness, of information recieved from others. Any individual not wishing to submit some or all the information should check the box provided for this purpose. (The exceptions are the PI/PD name and the information about prior Federal support, the last question above.)

Submit only ONE copy of this form **for each PI/PD and co-PI/PD** identified on the proposal. The form(s) should be attached to the original proposal as specified in GPG Section II.B. *DO NOT INCLUDE THIS FORM WITH ANY OF THE OTHER COPIES OF YOUR PROPOSAL AS THIS MAY COMPRISE THE CONFIDENTIALITY OF THE INFORMATION.* 

PI/PD Name:	Louis M Gomez								
Gender:		$\boxtimes$	Male	Fema	ale				
Ethnicity: (Choose	one response)	$\boxtimes$	Hispanic or Latino		Not Hispanic or Latino				
Race:			American Indian or A	Alask	a Native				
(Select one or more	2)		Asian						
			Black or African Ame	ericar	1				
			Native Hawaiian or (	Other	Pacific Islander				
			White						
Disability Status:	<b>、</b>		Hearing Impairment						
(Select one or more	2)		Visual Impairment						
			Mobility/Orthopedic Impairment						
			Other						
		$\boxtimes$	None						
Citizenship: (Ch	oose one)	$\boxtimes$	U.S. Citizen		Permanent Resident		Other non-U.S. Citizen		
Check here if you	do not wish to provid	le ang	y or all of the above	infor	mation (excluding PI/PD nar	ne):			
REQUIRED: Check project 🛛	c here if you are curre	ently	serving (or have pre	viou	sly served) as a PI, co-PI or I	PD on a	ny federally funded		
of race. Race Definitions: American Indian o America), and who	<ul> <li>A person of Mexican,</li> <li>r Alaska Native. A permaintains tribal affiliation</li> </ul>	rson l on or	having origins in any o community attachme	of the ent.	Central American, or other Sp original peoples of North and ast, Southeast Asia, or the Indi	South A	merica (including Central		

example, Cambodia, China, India, Japan, Korea, Malaysia, Pakistan, the Philippine Islands, Thailand, and Vietnam.

Black or African American. A person having origins in any of the black racial groups of Africa.

Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander. A person having origins in any of the original peoples of Hawaii, Guam, Samoa, or other Pacific Islands.

White. A person having origins in any of the original peoples of Europe, the Middle East, or North Africa.

## WHY THIS INFORMATION IS BEING REQUESTED:

The Federal Government has a continuing commitment to monitor the operation of its review and award processes to identify and address any inequities based on gender, race, ethnicity, or disability of its proposed PIs/PDs. To gather information needed for this important tasks, the proposer should submit a single copy of this form for each identified PI/PD with each proposal. Submission of the requested information is voluntary and is not a precondition of award. However, information not submitted will seriously undermine the statistical validity, and therefore the usefulness, of information recieved from others. Any individual not wishing to submit some or all the information should check the box provided for this purpose. (The exceptions are the PI/PD name and the information about prior Federal support, the last question above.)

Submit only ONE copy of this form **for each PI/PD and co-PI/PD** identified on the proposal. The form(s) should be attached to the original proposal as specified in GPG Section II.B. *DO NOT INCLUDE THIS FORM WITH ANY OF THE OTHER COPIES OF YOUR PROPOSAL AS THIS MAY COMPRISE THE CONFIDENTIALITY OF THE INFORMATION.* 

PI/PD Name:	Reagan W Moore								
Gender:		$\boxtimes$	Male	] Fem	ale				
Ethnicity: (Choose	e one response)		Hispanic or Latino		Not Hispanic or Latino				
Race:			American Indian o	r Alask	a Native				
(Select one or mor	e)		Asian	Asian					
			Black or African Ar	mericai	ı				
			Native Hawaiian o	r Other	Pacific Islander				
		$\boxtimes$	White						
Disability Status:			Hearing Impairmer	nt					
(Select one or mor	e)		Visual Impairment						
			Mobility/Orthopedic Impairment						
			Other						
		$\boxtimes$	None						
Citizenship: (Cl	noose one)	$\boxtimes$	U.S. Citizen		Permanent Resident		Other non-U.S. Citizen		
Check here if you	do not wish to provid	e ang	y or all of the abov	e info	mation (excluding PI/PD na	ime):			
REQUIRED: Chec project 🛛	k here if you are curre	ntly	serving (or have p	reviou	sly served) as a PI, co-PI or	PD on a	ny federally funded		
of race. Race Definitions: American Indian ( America), and who	<ul> <li>A person of Mexican,</li> <li>Dr Alaska Native. A per maintains tribal affiliation</li> </ul>	son ł	naving origins in an community attachm	y of the nent.	Central American, or other S original peoples of North and ast, Southeast Asia, or the Inc	d South A	merica (including Central		

example, Cambodia, China, India, Japan, Korea, Malaysia, Pakistan, the Philippine Islands, Thailand, and Vietnam.

Black or African American. A person having origins in any of the black racial groups of Africa.

Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander. A person having origins in any of the original peoples of Hawaii, Guam, Samoa, or other Pacific Islands.

White. A person having origins in any of the original peoples of Europe, the Middle East, or North Africa.

## WHY THIS INFORMATION IS BEING REQUESTED:

The Federal Government has a continuing commitment to monitor the operation of its review and award processes to identify and address any inequities based on gender, race, ethnicity, or disability of its proposed PIs/PDs. To gather information needed for this important tasks, the proposer should submit a single copy of this form for each identified PI/PD with each proposal. Submission of the requested information is voluntary and is not a precondition of award. However, information not submitted will seriously undermine the statistical validity, and therefore the usefulness, of information recieved from others. Any individual not wishing to submit some or all the information should check the box provided for this purpose. (The exceptions are the PI/PD name and the information about prior Federal support, the last question above.)

# COVER SHEET FOR PROPOSAL TO THE NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

PROGRAM ANNOUNCEMENT/SOLICITATION NO./CLOSING DATE/if not in response to a program announcement/solicitation enter NSF 00-2 FOR NSF USE ONLY							R NSF USE ONLY		
NSF 99-167 01/05/00 NSF PROPOSAL NUMBER								ROPOSAL NUMBER	
FOR CONSIDERATION BY NSF ORGANIZATION UNIT(S) (Indicate the most specific unit known, i.e. program, division, etc.)							70040		
INFORMATION	FOR CONSIDERATION BY NSF ORGANIZATION UNIT(S) (Indicate the most specific unit known, i.e. program, division, etc.) INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY RESEARC 00076912								
DATE RECEIVED	NUMBER OF C	OPIES	DIVISION	ASSIGNED	FUND CODE	DUNS# (Data U	niversal Numbering System)	FILE LOCATION	
						04943526	66		
EMPLOYER IDENTIFICA TAXPAYER IDENTIFICA		´   🗆	A RENEWAL		RD NO. IF THIS IS IS THIS PROPOSAL BEING SUBMITTED TO ANOTH AGENCY? YES □ NO ☑ IF YES, LIST ACRON				
042103547									
NAME OF ORGANIZATI	ON TO WHICH AWAR		D BE MADE			RGANIZATION, IN	CLUDING 9 DIGIT ZIP C	ODE	
Boston University					on University	<b>A</b>			
AWARDEE ORGANIZAT	FION CODE (IF KNOWN	I)			Commonwealth on, MA. 022151				
0021303000				Dost	011, 1114, 022131	1505			
NAME OF PERFORMIN	G ORGANIZATION. IF	DIFFERE	NT FROM ABO		SS OF PERFORMING	ORGANIZATION	. IF DIFFERENT. INCLU	DING 9 DIGIT ZIP CODE	
							,,,		
PERFORMING ORGANI									
IS AWARDEE ORGANIZ (See GPG II.D.1 For Defi			FIT ORGANIZ			MINORITY BUSIN	ESS 🗌 WOMAN-OW	NED BUSINESS	
TITLE OF PROPOSED F									
	11 N L			computatio					
REQUESTED AMOUNT		PROPOSE	D DURATION	(1-60 MONTHS)	REQUESTED STAR	TING DATE	SHOW RELATED PI	REPROPOSAL NO.,	
\$ 4,985,715		6	0 months		09/01/00 IF APPLICABLE				
CHECK APPROPRIATE	BOX(ES) IF THIS PR IGATOR (GPG 1.A.3)	OPOSAL IN	ICLUDES AN	Y OF THE ITEMS		NIMALS (GPG II.E	0.12) IACUC App. Date _		
DISCLOSURE OF LC	OBBYING ACTIVITIES	(GPG II.D.	1)		HUMAN SUBJECTS (GPG II.D.12)				
PROPRIETARY & PF		,	,		Exemption Subsection or IRB App. Date				
		CT (GPG II.I	D.10)		INTERNATIONAL COOPERATIVE ACTIVITIES: COUNTRY/COUNTRIES				
	, ,								
		H (SGER) (	GPG II.D.12)					ABILITIES (GPG V.G.)	
GROUP PROPOSAL	(GPG II.D.12)			TAL ADDRESS	RESEARCH OPI	PORTUNITY AWA	RD (GPG V.H)		
Center for Com	putational Scien	ce	3 Cum	mington Str	eet				
PI/PD FAX NUMBER				, MA 02215					
617-353-6062				States	Talaah Mili			1 Adda.co	
NAMES (TYPED)		High D	egree	Yr of Degree	Telephone Numb	er	Electronic Ma	II Address	
PI/PD NAME				1055					
<b>Roscoe C Giles</b>		Ph.D	•	1975	617-353-6082	2 roscoe	<sup>w</sup> bu.edu		
CO-PI/PD						_			
Geoffrey C Fox		Ph.D	Ph.D. 196		315-443-216.	3 gcf@cs	fsu.edu		
CO-PI/PD							_		
Louis M Gomez		Ph.D	•	1979	847-467-282	1 l-gomez	@nwu.edu		
CO-PI/PD									
Reagan W Moor	e	PHD		1978	619-534-507.	3 moore@	@sdsc.edu		
CO-PI/PD									

NSF Form 1207 (10/98)

# **CERTIFICATION PAGE**

# **Certification for Principal Investigators and Co-Principal Investigators:**

I certify to the best of my knowledge that:

(1) the statements herein (excluding scientific hypotheses and scientific opinions) are true and complete, and
(2) the text and graphics herein as well as any accompanying publications or other documents, unless otherwise indicated, are the original work of the
signatories or individuals working under their supervision. I agree to accept responsibility for the scientific conduct of the project and to provide the
required progress reports if an award is made as a result of this application.

I understand that the willful provision of false information or concealing a material fact in this proposal or any other communication submitted to NSF is a criminal offense (U.S.Code, Title 18, Section 1001).

Name (Typed)	Signature	Social Security No.*	Date
PI/PD		*0]	
Roscoe C Giles		SSN and ON F	
Co-PI/PD		's ai are AST	
Geoffrey C Fox		are 'e n TLA	
Co-PI/PD		col ot	
Louis M Gomez		nfi dis	
Co-PI/PD		de pla BN	
Reagan W Moore		ntia aye	
Co-PI/PD		d d	
		*SN	

# Certification for Authorized Organizational Representative or Individual Applicant:

By signing and submitting this proposal, the individual applicant or the authorized official of the applicant institution is: (1) certifying that statements made herein are true and complete to the best of his/her knowledge; and (2) agreeing to accept the obligation to comply with NSF award terms and conditions if an award is made as a result of this application. Further, the applicant is hereby providing certifications regarding Federal debt status, debarment and suspension, drug-free workplace, and lobbying activities (see below), as set forth in Grant Proposal Guide (GPG), NSF 00-2. Wilful provision of false information in this application and its supporting documents or in reports required under an ensuring award is a criminal offense (U. S. Code, Title 18, Section 1001).

In addition, if the applicant institution employs more than fifty persons, the authorized official of the applicant institution is certifying that the institution has implemented a written and enforced conflict of interest policy that is consistent with the provisions of Grant Policy Manual Section 510; that to the best of his/her knowledge, all financial disclosures required by that conflict of interest policy have been made; and that all identified conflicts of interest will have been satisfactorily managed, reduced or eliminated prior to the institution's expenditure of any funds under the award, in accordance with the institution's conflict of interest policy. Conflict which cannot be satisfactorily managed, reduced or eliminated must be disclosed to NSF.

#### Debt and Debarment Certifications

Is the organization delinquent on any Federal debt?	Yes 🗖	No 🛛
Is the organization or its principals presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from covered transactions by any Federal department or agency?	Yes 🗖	No 🛛

(If answer "yes" to either, please provide explanation.)

#### Certification Regarding Lobbying

This certification is required for an award of a Federal contract, grant, or cooperative agreement exceeding \$100,000 and for an award of a Federal loan or a commitment providing for the United States to insure or guarantee a loan exceeding \$150,000.

#### Certification for Contracts, Grants, Loans and Cooperative Agreements

The undersigned certifies, to the best of his or her knowledge and belief, that:

(1) No federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid, by or on behalf of the undersigned, to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with the awarding of any federal contract, the making of any Federal grant, the making of any Federal loan, the entering into of any cooperative agreement, and the extension, continuation, renewal, amendment, or modification of any Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement.

(2) If any funds other than Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with this Federal contract, grant, Ioan, or cooperative agreement, the undersigned shall complete and submit Standard Form-LLL, "Disclosure Form to Report Lobbying," in accordance with its instructions.

(3) The undersigned shall require that the language of this certification be included in the award documents for all subawards at all tiers including subcontracts, subgrants, and contracts under grants, loans, and cooperative agreements and that all subrecipients shall certify and disclose accordingly.

This certification is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when this transaction was made or entered into. Submission of this certification is a prerequisite for making or entering into this transaction imposed by section 1352, title 31, U.S. Code. Any person who fails to file the required certification shall be subject to a civil penalty of not less than \$10,000 and not more than \$100,000 for each such failure.

AUTHORIZED ORGANIZATIONAL REPRESENTATIVE			SIGNATURE		DATE	
NAME/TITLE (TYPED)						
John F. Imbergamo					01/05/00	
	TELEPHONE NUMBER	ELECTRONIC MAIL ADDRESS		FAX N	UMBER	
	617-353-4365	ckowal@bu.edu		617	7-353-6660	
	*SUBMISSION OF SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBERS IS VOLUNTARY AND WILL NOT AFFECT THE ORGANIZATION'S ELIGIBILITY FOR AN AWARD. HOWEVER, THEY ARE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THE INFORMATION SYSTEM AND ASSIST IN PROCESSING THE PROPOSAL. SSN SOLICITED UNDER NSF ACT OF 1950, AS AMENDED.					

# **Project Summary**

This project brings together a uniquely situated and highly qualified team of computer scientists, educational researchers, educators and computational scientists to address the problem of designing, implementing, and testing architectures that link education to the national high performance information infrastructure. The goal of this project is to investigate, design, implement, and test architectures for scalable 'education portals' which support effective educational use of grid resources.

The objectives of this project are:

Conduct research on learning and collaborative portal environments needed to support computational science education.

Conduct research on the web system architecture and human computer interfaces for educational portals taking into account current research and existing and planned commercial, academic, and especially scientific computing portals.

Define the mapping between the information models used to support computational research and the information model to be used to support education and learning. Realize this mapping as a set of fundamental research tools for domain expert developers of educational portal systems.

Produce a sequence of testbed educational portal implementations that leverage the rich research and educational resources of PACI and EOT-PACI, addressing various populations of teachers and students with a focus on the IT workforce by virtue of content in the areas of computer science, computational science and information sciences.

The project has four thrust areas. Learning Environment Design will identify human-computer interface elements needed to support learning in the context of the scalable education portal; <u>Portal Architecture</u> will focus on underlying web technologies, particularly within the broad framework of event based models; <u>Information Systems</u> will focus on the relation of the information models underlying the learning goals, knowledge areas, and portal events; <u>Testbeds</u> will develop application testbeds with teachers, scientists, and students.

The project will last 5 years.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

For font size and page formatting specifications, see GPG section II.C.

Section	on	Total No. of Pages in Section	Page No.* (Optional)*				
Cover	over Sheet (NSF Form 1207 - Submit Page 2 with original proposal only)						
А	Project Summary (not to exceed 1 page)	1					
В	Table of Contents (NSF Form 1359)	1					
С	Project Description (including Results from Prior NSF Support) (not to exceed 15 pages) (Exceed only if allowed by a specific program announcement/solicitation or if approved in advance by the appropriate NSF Assistant Director or designee)	5					
D	References Cited	1					
Е	Biographical Sketches (Not to exceed 2 pages each)	19					
F	Budget (NSF Form 1030, including up to 3 pages of budget justification)	3					
G	Current and Pending Support (NSF Form 1239)	0					
н	Facilities, Equipment and Other Resources (NSF Form 1363)	0					
I	Special Information/Supplementary Documentation	0					
J	Appendix (List below.) (Include only if allowed by a specific program announcement/ solicitation or if approved in advance by the appropriate NSF Assistant Director or designee)						

Appendix Items:

\*Proposers may select any numbering mechanism for the proposal, however, the entire proposal must be paginated. Complete both columns only if the proposal is numbered consecutively.

# **Overview**

Information technology is now fundamental to the practice of all science, mathematics, and engineering. Building on pioneering computer science work of the past fifty years, programs like NSF's Partnerships for Advanced Computational Infrastructure (PACI) are prototyping tools that enable scientists and the scientific community as a whole to incorporate advanced computation and networking into all aspects of their work. This emergent computational environment has come to be called by some the 'grid' [1].

To sustain this transformation, the information resources of the grid must be incorporated in a fundamental way in education. This can only be achieved at a national scale by empowering teachers, students, and scientists themselves to develop educational applications and environments based on the computational and information resources of the grid. Teachers must be able to structure learning environments from grid resources; learners at all levels must have a rich, adaptable environment for working on the grid; teachers and learners must be able to work collaboratively and assess progress in learning; grid knowledge workers must have means to readily transfer results and tools to education; and students must be empowered to do real science and computation on the grid.

These results cannot be achieved using existing technologies and tools. Fundamental computer science research must be combined with research in computer supported learning environments in order to create the basis for scalable systems supporting science education.

# Goal

The goal of this project is to investigate, design, implement, and test architectures for scalable 'education portals' which support effective educational use of grid resources.

# Objectives

This project brings together computer science and learning researchers and scientific/educational communities of practice needed to achieve this goal. There are four interdependent objectives:

- 1. Conduct research on learning and collaborative portal environments needed to support computational science education.
- 2. Conduct research on the web system architecture and human computer interfaces for educational portals taking into account current research and existing and planned commercial, academic, and especially scientific computing portals.
- 3. Define the mapping between the information models used to support computational research and the information model to be used to support education and learning. Realize this mapping as a set of fundamental research tools for domain expert developers of educational portal systems.
- 4. Produce a sequence of testbed educational portal implementations that leverage the rich research and educational resources of PACI and EOT-PACI, addressing various populations of teachers and students with a focus on the IT workforce by virtue of content in the areas of computer science, computational science and information sciences.

# Team

The team consists of PI Giles and co-PI's Gomez, Fox, and Moore, each of whom will lead a major thrust area related to one of the four objectives. Senior personnel include a number of EOT-PACI and PACI partners who will participate in the various objectives, including the support of high impact testbeds for evaluation of the effectiveness of the research through educational portal prototypes and classroom instruction.

Senior Personnel: Dennis Gannon, Steve Stevenson, Robert Panoff, Gregg Vanderheiden, Al Gilman, Scott Lathrop, Lisa Bievenue, and Raquell Holmes.

# Activities

# Learning Environment Design

Learning Environment Design focuses on 1) learning and cognitive elements that drive the design of portals and information systems, and 2) on the analysis of testbed results.

The computational science education portal architecture must be able to support a variety of teaching and learning models. Our intent is not to commit to a single educational approach but to identify elements of broad applicability

that will support the scaling of many learning models to the grid [1]. In the early phases of the project, we focus on basic issues that will enable useable portals for experimentation and testing. As the project progresses, we will be able to design support for more sophisticated agents and adaptive interfaces.

We will create education portals that empower learners to do real science, computation and discovery using the most powerful tools that the nation has to offer. Students can pursue authentic inquiry as scientists themselves and move beyond artificially constrained 'sandboxes'. Our educational portals must support teaching and learning in both 'individual' and collaborative modes. A key task is to characterize the supportable learning environments in terms of the event based model of the underlying web portal architecture described in the next sections. A science education portal is also a realization of a mapping between an information model of the science domain and an information model of learners.

# Education Models of Learners & Information Systems

One recognized aid to learning is enhancing the ability of students to interact with their peers as they gain understanding. Collaboration environments have focused on delivering the same information to each person. An extension of the environment's capability is to promote interchange of information between students while also interacting with the instructor/teacher. An example would be the validation by a peer rather than by the teacher that a student has understood a concept. Another example is in problem based learning in which students are posed with a problem, develop hypotheses, collect information, and identify learning objectives. This implies the collaboration environment supports multiple distributed simultaneous sessions, between teacher & students, between peers within the class, and with people outside the class such as mentors, domain experts, and community members. In an educational setting, the collaboration between the peers can be structured to focus on the information that is being learned. In the computer science context, instead of the acknowledgment going from the original receiver of information back to the source, the acknowledgment now is validated by a distributed third party.

# Users and Agents

User customization is a service of value in all portals and we will exploit such general capabilities and research the education specific features.

A longer term goal is to create portals that adjust to the abilities of learners, including their level of knowledge or expertise in the field. A system that "knows" its learners can better assist them in understanding new concepts. For example, the level or amount of tutorial versus reference information provided might be customized to the learner.

"Knowing a user" also means having a long-term history of the individual. This may mean recording and tracking interactions and discussions for later recall. A system that knows the user can remind the individual of what they had forgotten, or review the materials they had been through (whether it was a week ago or 10 years ago). On the other hand, the individual should be able to alter the knowledge base to reflect changes and indicate that past information is no longer be valid. The system can then adapt appropriately.

Finally, in any modern interface system, the 'user' will likely be accompanied by agents that will interact with the portal information resources electronically. For example, students must be able to integrate portal information resources into their own portfolios, notebooks, etc. The interface must support access by multiple entities.

## Instrumentation of Portals

It is very important to design the 'instrumentation' necessary to turn streams of low-level portal events into meaningful higher-level indicators that can be incorporated into assessments of. For example, instrumentation will be designed to address the length of time and depth of discovery within on-line sources (web pages, databases, simulation and visualization models, etc). It will help to assess whether someone is really engaging with the electronic source, and help to determine whether the source is useful or of interest to the learner. This will help assess how members of a team engage one another and build off the experience of working on a team.

## **Portal Architecture**

The portal architecture effort will provide a framework for realization of learning environments using web event and distributed object technologies. Portal event data will be a fundamental input to the information model characterizing learners' interactions with the portal. Testbeds will implement and evaluate the evolving portal architecture and provide guidance for its design.

The architecture of scalable computational science education portals builds on and extends the efforts within PACI to create science portals.

# Science Portals

PACI is developing and prototyping portals that support advanced research applications on the national computational grid. These portals will give web based, desktop access and support to researchers across the nation and around the world. This effort has been abstracted from the specific PACI context to a general Computing Portals effort (see <a href="http://www.computingportals.org">http://www.computingportals.org</a>). Co-PI Fox, who will lead the portal architecture effort is a leader of the computing portals group.

The portal architecture will need to support seamless access to distributed national resources. These resources include distributed databases, prototypical and large-scale scientific simulations, immersive visualization environments, as well as colleagues, mentors, and experts in the field. The resources will be accessed in asynchronous and synchronous modes including real-time interactive capabilities. A base architecture for such portals is defined by emerging web-based, distributed object, component technologies and information repositories standards.

The proposed educational portal effort will build on this computing portals work and will emphasize codevelopment of middleware with the Alliance portal effort, which is coordinated by senior participant Dennis Gannon.

# Event Based Systems

A unifying framework for the planned portal architecture and its linkage to information models representing grid resources and learning models is its characterization in terms of 'events'.

In this context, events are units of information (essentially messages) with tags which include time-stamp," sender" "subject" (as in email events), and some indicator of domain (to distinguish, for example, mouse, email, voice, user customization events). In email or MPI messages, events are sometimes sent out and stored in distributed queues associated with particular recipients. Other times they are "all" stored in a source dependent queue and then "listened to" by recipients who register interest. Given the large number of events implied by integration of all communication into queued rather than synchronous delivery, the "architecture of an event system" is a major issue. Note that "collaborative systems" essentially federate otherwise disjoint event services. (e.g. they transfer mouse events from one machine to another).

## **Event Integration**

An event based approach provides a framework for integrating synchronous and asynchronous activities and for looking at the performance of adaptable systems. For example, audio video conferencing is one of the tools utilized for scientific and educational collaboration and instruction. Current approaches involve 3 distinct buffer (aka event) sizes:

(1) Real-time audio/video conferencing (CUseeMe, Tango, Access Grid) fraction of a second; (2) Real Audio (several seconds); (3) Download file locally and play (indefinitely large)

Thinking of AV as events (with very fast real time processing if necessary) of a small size which can be transmitted redundantly for mode 1) and concatenated for modes 2) and 3) appears to allow more flexible robust A/V conferencing where you can dynamically move between 1) 2) or 3) dependent on line-quality and lateness of arrival of listener to a session. Note that a difficult issue is retaining real-time (high) performance for synchronous modes even with intermediate buffers and filters (for user customization such as that needed for universal access). A simple but important issue is "event format" (e.g. XML DTD for events) which allows very fast retrieval of critical tags and allows a more relaxed analysis to fully process others.

## **Strategies**

It is important that we develop research prototype portals to enable testbeds and to enable interface and learning technology research as we investigate portal issues. We will proceed along several parallel tracks: (1) develop a initial prototype portal architecture based on XML to define objects/portals and the existing TangoInteractive collaboration framework[11]. This will interact with other thrusts in terms of basic object (XML) structure. (2) Build a prototype problem based learning framework with a well-defined collaborative interface that can port to future infrastructure. This thrust will interact with Learning Environment Design group to evolve design. (3) Develop a

more robust collaborative infrastructure around an event service system such as Ninja from UCB [5], and research event architecture and services that support learning (assessment) and collaboration. (4) Work with Alliance Access Grid and commercial vendors on the A/V issues; and interact with testbeds on the types of A/V that are needed. (5) Investigate issues of adaptable, accessible interfaces built on top of event services and supporting a universally accessible mapping tool (with collaboration of the Trace Center, participants Gilman and Vanderheiden).

## **Information Systems**

The universe of resources to which computing portals offer access include computer systems, instruments, and data collections. The Information Systems effort will integrate information models describing learning, the user's interaction with the education portal architecture, and the information resources of the grid. Testbeds will prototype the information models and tools.

# Information Modeling

By applying a consistent model for information across existing information collections, the learning model that will be used to transfer the information, and the collaboration model for delivery of the information, it will be possible to dramatically improve the ability of the system to support education. Results will include the ability to use current scientific data collections in educational settings, the ability to map between the information organization provided within a collection and the information organization required by the learning model, and the ability to support the learning model within a collaborative distributed environment.

Critical issues include developing an event based information model for events important in analyzing learning. For example, the 'path' a learner or group of learners takes through the information of a collection is of significance in learning. How are such paths represented, compared, stored, and mapped onto other representations of the collections content? Of critical importance is the characterization of learning events. The delivery of information must be augmented with events that validate the understanding of the information. This implies a causal relationship between events in learning. A similar causal relationship is present in event-based collaboration. The information model needs to integrate both sets of causal events to describe the learning process.

# Mapping Tools

We will develop methodology for mapping between these information models based on our learning design and on our series of testbed results. We will create tools that will assist applications designers – teachers and scientists – in creating science education portals.

## **Strategies**

The information systems effort will link the learning design and portal efforts. In the early phases, we will insure that the XML object/portal representation can access collections as well as other grid resources. In parallel, we will work with the learning environments team to develop explicit information models based on example learning models. These examples will serve as a basis for studies of the mapping problem.

## **Testbeds and Workforce Development**

We will put the research ideas about learning, architecture, and information systems to the test with real teachers, learners, and scientists. The testbed effort will move in parallel with the other technical components so that evaluation of effectiveness informs the designs continuously. The results from such tests will be evaluated by all teams and will define the direction of our efforts.

We will leverage our team members' collaborations in EOT-PACI (<u>http://www.eot.org</u>) in order to develop content and exploit additional technology for the testbeds.

The mission of EOT-PACI is to develop human resources through the innovative use of emerging information technologies to understand and solve problems. This includes developing a national framework for dissemination of PACI science, computational science, and computing knowledge and resources in support of education. We have already observed the need for scalable computational science education portals in pursuing these goals and team members have begun to work on elements of them. For example, Steve Stevenson of Clemson/Shodor Foundation has been working closely with Geoffrey Fox and Dennis Gannon in the computing portal effort so as to create portals that can enhance teacher education in Shodor's SCSI program.

We will readily engage a number of EOT-PACI partners to create effective testbeds for evaluation of prototypes of the portal and information architecture developed in this project, as well as to introduce the emerging IT methodologies into curriculum at the K-12 and undergraduate levels with a focus on computer science,

computational science and information sciences. The inclusion of the latest research on information technologies within science and IT curricula is critical for creating an information technology savvy workforce. This also provides additional opportunities for students to become engaged in information technology research, development, and application. Existing EOT-PACI efforts are focused on communities receptive to development and incorporation of new technologies for education. Examples of current testbeds include:

- A K-12 team that is addressing incorporation of computational science, visualization and modeling in preservice teacher education in collaboration with K-12 communities. This effort is also independently supported by NSF and the Department of Education.
- An undergraduate team that is working with computational scientists to adapt portal environments such as those developed in chemistry and biology (http://glycine.ncsa.uiuc.edu/educwb/) for educational communities. The team is also working with faculty through various workshops and institutes to introduce new methods and techniques into the undergraduate curriculum, such as through the SCSI workshops of the Shodor Education Foundation.
- We are participating with EDUCAUSE in a project focused on Advanced Networking for Minority Institutions. Our part in this effort relies heavily on grid and web based systems developed by PACI. We will incorporate prototype portals and new curriculum modules within these communities.

All project areas will involve postdocs, graduate students, and undergraduate students as another step in the efforts of the PIs to impact the IT workforce and to encourage the students to pursue advanced studies in math, science, and information technology fields. The EOT-PACI Women and Minorities Team will help to ensure that under-represented students are part of the team.

# **Evaluation**

The project will involve an external evaluator to assess the effectiveness of the prototype portal architectures in the various testbeds, and to evaluate the effectiveness of immersing new research methodologies into the curriculum. The evaluation will seek to address the following questions:

(1) Does the portal support learning more effectively than other collaboration environments, for individuals and for groups? (2) How well and in what ways does the portal adapt to the individual and to groups? (3) Is the portal as effective at all learning levels? (4) How does it need to differ for various learning levels? (5) How do scientific portals differ from educational portals? (6) What design characteristics are necessary to support both environments? (7) Are portals scalable and sustainable in the education community? (8) What would be the most effective next steps to improve portals for education? (9) To what extent are new research methodologies incorporated into the curriculum? (10) What instrumentation is useful and appropriate for assessing learning in the IT domain through the use of portals?

# Management & Budget

This project will be coordinated by the PI (Giles). Many of the team members have a history of collaboration and joint work, especially in the context of the PACI program. The PI and co-PI's are responsible for the four areas of the effort and for ensuring that the four teams work effectively together. There will be an iterated series of design/implement./test cycles for all the system components.

The budget for this project is anticipated to be \$1M per year balanced over the four thrust areas. Boston University is the lead institution with subawards to Northwestern University, Florida State University, and University of California San Diego. We plan to support on the order of 16 graduate students, 20 undergraduate research students, and 3 postdocs among the 4 teams.

The duration of the project is 5 years. The initial phase of the project will be focused on developing prototype portals and components to enable the learning and systems research. The mid-phase will emphasize research into robust systems and broader support of learning models. The end phase will emphasize mature testbeds and the dissemination of components of the architectures, API's, etc.

# References

- 1. The Grid: Blueprint for a New Computing Infrastructure, Edited by Ian Foster and Carl Kesselman.
- 2. The NSF LIS centers, for example, incorporate a number of innovative approaches to the use of information technologies in education. The science education portal should be able to support the scaling of a number of these to the grid.
- 3. Lee M., *Information Architecture of Interactive and Customizable Learning Environments*, Syracuse Ph.D. 2000, advisor G. Fox.
- 4. Sen M., *Support of Assessment in a Web-based Database Environment*, Syracuse Ph.D. 2000, advisor G. Fox.
- 5. Ninja project at University of Berkeley, <u>http://ninja.cs.berkeley.edu/</u>
- 6. Habanero Home Page at NCSA http://havefun.ncsa.uiuc.edu/habanero/
- 7. Tango Interactive Collaboration System home page http://www.npac.syr.edu/tango
- Fox G.C., From Computational Science to Internetics: Integration of Science with Computer Science, Chapter in a book dedicated to John Rice of Purdue (to be published). <u>http://www.npac.syr.edu/users/gcf/internetics2/</u>
- 9. Fox G.C., Internal memo on Collaborative Educational Objects, http://www.npac.syr.edu/users/gcf/webwisdomrefs/npacwwdocs.html
- Fox G.C., Initial discussion of use of XML for Universal Access, Shared Places on the Web: XML for Web-based collaboration and Distance Education, XML Developers Conference <u>http://www.gca.org/conf/xmldev99/</u> Montreal August 19-20 1999, <u>http://www.npac.syr.edu/users/gcf/montrealxmlaug99</u>
- 11. Fox G.C., Initial Description of CPW (Collaborative Portal on the Web) http://www.npac.syr.edu/users/gcf/offbeatxmloct99/
- Moore, R., C. Baru, P. Bourne, M. Ellisman, S. Karin, A. Rajasekar, S. Young, "Information Based Computing," Proceeding of the Workshop on Research Directions for the Next Generation Internet, May, 1997.

### **ROSCOE C. GILES**

Professor, Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, College of Engineering, Boston University, Boston Massachusetts, 02215 (617) 353-6082, EMAIL: roscoe@bu.edu, URL: http://roscoe.bu.edu

## **Professional Employment**

1985-Present		Professor, Department of Electrical, Computer and Systems Engineering, College of Engineering, Boston University.				
1979-1985		Assistant Professor, Department of Physics and Center for Theoretical Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology				
1976-1978	Post-Doctora Technology.	Post-Doctoral Fellow, Center for Theoretical Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology.				
1975-1976		Post-Doctoral Fellow, Theoretical Physics Group, Stanford Linear Accelerator Center (SLAC)				
<b>Education</b>						
Ph.D.,	Physics	Stanford University, 1975				
M.S.,	Physics	Stanford University, 1973				
B.A. Honors,	Physics	University of Chicago, 1970				

#### Honors and Fellowships

DOE Undergraduate Computational Science Award, DOE, 1995 DOE Undergraduate Computational Science Award for "Introduction to Parallel Computing Course," 1994 Boston University Scholar–Teacher of the Year 1992-93. Elected to Sigma Xi Society, Stanford University, 1975 Fannie and John Hertz Foundation Fellow, 1970-1974 Elected to Phi Beta Kappa, 1969

## Professional and Research Interests

My research focuses on the application of high performance and parallel computing to physics and materials problems. I have developed, implemented, and used parallel algorithms for large scale micromagnetic modeling and for molecular dynamics simulations.

As an outgrowth of these computational science research efforts, I have become committed to prototyping and building computational and educational infrastructure that will enable broad participation of scholars and students in advanced computing and networking. I have participated in the NSF Cooperative Research in Learning Technologies program with a project entitled "Teacher-Researcher Collaboration in Scientific Modeling...".that uses distributed computing to support realistic scale scientific modeling at secondary school level. At Boston University, I helped pioneer award-winnint courses in parallel computing addressed to undergraduate students.

As a co-PI on the NCSA Alliance (an NSF Partnership for Advanced Computational Infrastructure), I head the Education, Outreach, and Training teams of the Alliance and am part of the Leadership Team for the National EOT-PACI effort. I also direct the EOT-PACI component of the NSF supported project "Advanced Networking with Minority Serving Institutions", led by EDUCAUSE. This project works to establish effective use of the high performance computational 'grid' by minority serving institutions.

# **5** Selected Publications

- Daniel Reed, Roscoe Giles, Charles Catlett. "Distributed Data and Immersive Collaboration", Comm. ACM. 40, p 39, 1997.
- Elizabeth R. Jessup, Roscoe C. Giles, "Teach Computing in Context," Computational Science & Engineering, **3**, Fall 1996, p54.
- Beazley, Lomhdal, Gronbech-Jensen, Giles, and Tamayo, "Parallel Algorithms for Short Range Molecular Dynamics," Annual Reviews in Computational Physics, **3**, 1995.
- R. Giles and M. Mansuripur, "Computer Simulations of Magnetization Reversal Dynamics," Journal of the Magnetic Society of Japan 17 (Supplement S1), 255 (1993).
- R. Giles, P.S. Alexopoulos, and M. Mansuripur, "Micromagnetics of Thin Film Cobalt-Based Media for Magnetic Recording," Computers in Physics, 6, 53 (1992).

# **Geoffrey Charles Fox**

gcf@npac.syr.edu , http://www.npac.syr.edu, Phone: (315) 443-2163, Fax: (315) 443-4741 NPAC Syracuse University 111 College Place Syracuse NY 13244-4100

# **Education:**

B.A. in Mathematics from Cambridge Univ., Cambridge, England (1961-1964)Ph.D. in Theoretical Physics from Cambridge University (1964-1967)M.A. from Cambridge University (1968)

# **Professional Experience:**

2000-	Professor of Computer Science, Florida State University
1990-	Professor of Computer Science, Syracuse University
1990-	Professor of Physics, Syracuse University
1990-2000	Director of Northeast Parallel Architectures Center
1979-1990	Professor of Physics, California Inst. of Tech.
1986-1988	Associate Provost for Computing, California Inst. of Tech.
1983-1985	Dean for Educational Computing, California Inst. of Tech.
1981-1983	Executive Officer of Physics, California Inst. of Tech.
1974-1979	Associate Professor of Physics, California Inst. of Tech.
1971-1974	Assistant Professor of Physics, California Inst. of Tech.
1970-1971	Millikan Research Fellow in Theoretical Physics, Caltech
1970	Visiting Scientist (April-May), Brookhaven National Laboratory
1969-1970	Research Fellow at Peterhouse College, Cavendish Lab., Cambridge
1968-1969	Research Scientist, Lawrence Berkeley Lab., Berkeley, Calif.
1967-1968	Member of School of Natural Science, Inst. for Advanced Study,
	Princeton, New Jersey

# **Five Selected Publications**

- Erol Akarsu, Geoffrey Fox, Tomasz Haupt, Alexey Kalinichenko, Kang-Seok Kim, Praveen Sheethaalnath, and Choon-Han Youn, Using Gateway System to Provide a Desktop Access to High Performance Computational Resources, Proceedings of HPDC-8 Conference, Redondo Beach Ca., Aug 3-6, 1999, IEEE Press.
- Fox G.C., From Computational Science to Internetics: Integration of Science with Computer Science, Chapter in a book dedicated to John Rice of Purdue (to be published). <u>http://www.npac.syr.edu/users/gcf/internetics2/</u>
- Bernholdt D.E., Fox G.C., Malluhi Q., Markowski R., McCracken N., Mitra D., Podgorny M., Scavo T., *Synchronous Learning at a Distance: Experiences with Tango*, Proceedings of SC98 Orlando, IEEE. <u>http://www.npac.syr.edu/projects/training/Papers/sc98/</u>
- Beca, L, Cheng, G., Fox, G., Jurga, T., Olszewski, K., Podgorny, M., and Walczak, K., *Web Technologies for Collaborative Visualization and Simulation*, in Proceedings of the Eighth SIAM Conference on Parallel Processing for Scientific Computing, March 1997.

5) Fox, G. C. *Parallel Computing and Education*, Daedalus Journal of the American Academy of Arts and Sciences, 121(1):111-118, 1992.

CURRICULUM VITAE: Louis M. Gomez, Associate Professor of the Learning Sciences and Computer Science School of Education and Social Policy, Northwestern University, 2115 N. Campus Dr., Evanston IL 60208 (847) 467-2821

#### **Education:**

- State University of New York at Stony Brook, 1974, B.A., Psychology
- University of California, 1979, Ph.D., Psychology

#### Work Experience

• Northwestern University, Since 1993, Associate Professor of the Learning Sciences, Joint appointment in Electrical Engineering and Computer Science.

- Bellcore, 1989-1993, Director, Human-Computer Systems Research.
- Bell Communications Research, 1987-1989, District Research Manager, Information Technology.
- Bell Communications Research, 1984-1987, Member of technical staff in the Cognitive Science Research Group.
- Bell Laboratories, 1980-1983, Member of the technical staff in the Person-Computer Interaction Research Group.
- Bell Laboratories, 1979-80, Post doctoral member of technical staff, Person-Computer Interaction Research Group.

#### Selected Professional and Consulting Experience

• 1993, Member of Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development, working group on the future of technology in post-secondary education.

• 1992-present, Research advisor to Morris County Automation and Information Network (Morris County Libraries) community networking project.

- 1992-present, Member of Visiting Panel of Research, Educational Testing Service, Princeton, NJ.
- 1991-1992, Member of New Jersey Statewide Technology Plan Task Force.

#### **Research Grants**

- 1998 2001 The WorldWatcher Curriculum: Integrating Visualization in Inquiry-Based Science Learning, NSF (Co-PI)
- 1997-1999, Spencer Mentoring Award, The Spencer Foundation, (Co-PI)
- 1996 -2001, Reality-Based Learning, Department of Education ,(LEAKirby School District) (Co-PI)
- 1997-2000, The Living Curriculum Project, NSF
- 1997 2001, Center for Learning Technologies in Urban Schools, NSF, (Co-PI).

• 1998, Enacting Standards-Based Science Curriculum: Building Capacity for Change, State of Illinois Board of Higher Education, (Co-PI).

# **Selected Publications**

- Fishman, B., Gomez, L., Pea, R., & Gordin, D. (1995). Using the WWW to Build Learning Communities in K-12 Settings, Part II: The Next Generation of Web Servers to Support Learning Communities. The Global Network Navigator [Electronic Document]. Available: http://gnn.com/gnn/meta/edu/features/covis.html.
- Gomez, L., Fishman, B., Pea., R. (in press). The CoVis Project: Building a large-scale science education testbed. *Interactive Learning Environments*.
- Gordin, D., Gomez, L., Pea, R., & Fishman, B. (1995). Using the WWW to Build Learning Communities in K-12 Settings, Part I: The Current State of the Art in Web-Based Technology for K-12 Education. The Global Network Navigator [Electronic Document]. Available: http://gnn.com/gnn/meta/edu/features/archive/covis.html.

Pea, R., Gomez, L., Edelson, D., Fishman, B., Gordin, D., & O'Neill, K. (in press). Science Education as a Driver of Cyberspace Technology Development. To appear in Cohen, K.C. (Ed.), *The Impact of the Internet and Technology on Student-Scientist Partnerships: A New Force in Science and Science Education*. New York: Plenum Press.

Pea, R & Gomez, L. (1992). Distributed multimedia learning environments: Why and how? *Interactive Learning Environments*, 2(2), 73-109.

### **Recent Collaborators**

Roy Pea, SRI Elliott Soloway, University of Michigan James Hollan, UCSD Ellen Wahl, Center for Children and Technology Laura Jeffers, Center for Children and Technology Philip Bowman, Northwestern University Brian Reiser, Northwestern University Daniel Edelson, Northwestern University Joe Polman, Washington University Douglas Gordin, SRI Laura D'Amico, LRDC Barry J. Fishman, University of Michigan D. Kevin O'Neill, University of Toronto

# **Reagan W. Moore**

Associate Director, San Diego Supercomputer Center Adjunct Professor, Computer Science and Engineering University of California, San Diego 9500 Gilman Drive, MC 0505 La Jolla, CA 92093-0505 Telephone: (858) 534-5073 Email: moore@sdsc.edu

## **Education:**

B.S. Physics	Caltech		1967
M.S. Plasma Physics	University of California, San Diego	1970	
Ph.D. Plasma Physics	University of California, San Diego	1978	

# **Positions**:

Fusion Research S	taff (	General Atomics,	Inc.	1977-1985
Manager of superc	computer systems San Diego	o Supercomputer	Center	1986-1988 Manager of systems
group S	San Diego Supercomputer (	Center	1988-1994	
Associate Director	· S	San Diego Superc	computer Center	1994-present
Adjunct Professor	ι	University of Cali	fornia, San Diego	1997-present
Awarda				

#### Awards:

Tau Beta Pi	Caltech		1967
Regents Fellow	University of California, San Diego	1967-1968	
Patent co-holder	Elongated Toroid Fusion Device	1988	

### **Funding:**

Since 1987: ten NSF grants, 1 DARPA grants, 1 DARPA/USPTO grant, 2 NARA grants, 2 DOE grants, 1 NLM grant, 1 NASA grant. Ten grants are currently active.

# **Professional Service:**

Chair – Program Committee IEEE Symposium on Mass Storage Systems	1999, 2001
Chair - NSF Workshop on Knowledge Networking	1997
Chair – SDSC/NSF workshop on Managing and Mining Massive Data	1995, 1998
Chair - SC'95 BOF on Characteristics of Workloads on Large Parallel Machines	1995
Chair - Cray User Group Software Tools Special Interest Committee	1988 - 1992
Chair - CTSS Baseline Utility Group	1986 - 1988
Member - IEEE Mass Storage System Technical Committee	1997 - present
Member - NSF LIGO Project Advisory Committee	1997 - 1998
Member - NASA Data Assimilation Office Advisory Committee	1996 – 1998
Member - Alexandria Digital Library Advisory Board	1996 - 1998
Member - Parallel Tools Consortium Steering Committee	1994 - 1995
Program Committee - 12th Int. Conf. on Scientific and Statistical DBM	2000
Program Committee - IEEE Symposium on High Perf. Distributed Computing	1998
Program Committee - IPPS	1998
Program Committee - IPPS Workshops, Job Sched. Strategies for Parallel Proc.	1995, 1997
Program Committee - Heterogeneous Computing Workshop	1996
Program Committee - SC Paper Selection	1996, 1998
Program Committee - HPCC Second Pasadena Workshop on System Software	1995
Program Committee - HPCC Workshop and Conf. on Grand Challenges Apps.	1993
Program Committee - HPCC Workshop on System Software and Tools	1992

### **Teaching Experience:**

Graduate level course on Information Based Computing. Undergraduate course on advanced computer architecture. Serving on Ph.D committees for 3 students, and supervising a group of 10 staff members.

#### **Publications:**

Over 48 publications including refereed journals, workshop findings, and conference proceedings

#### Five most closely related publications:

Rajasekar, A., R. Marciano, R. Moore, "Collection Based Persistent Archives," Proceedings of the 16<sup>th</sup> IEEE Symposium on Mass Storage Systems, March 1999.

Foster, I., Kesselman, C., "The Grid: Blueprint for a New Computing Infrastructure," Chapter 5, "Data Intensive Computing," Morgan Kaufmann, San Francisco, 1999.

Moore, R., C. Baru, S. Karin, A. Rajasekar, "Information Based Computing," Proceedings of the Workshop on Research and Development Opportunities in Federal Information Services, March, 1997.

Moore, Reagan W., "Enabling Petabyte Computing," The Unpredictable Certainty, Information Infrastructure through 2000, National Academy Press, 1997.

Moore, Reagan W., "File Servers, Networking, and Supercomputers," Adv. Info Storage Systems Vol 4, 1992, SDSC Report GA-A20574.

#### LISA A. BIEVENUE

National Center for Supercomputing Applications University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign 605 E. Springfield Ave. Champaign, IL 61820 (217) 244-1993 bievenue@ncsa.uiuc.edu http://www.ncsa.uiuc.edu/People/bievenue

### Education

B.S. in Computer Science, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign; May, 1986.
M.A. in Speech Communication, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign; May, 1989.
Teacher certification in Secondary Teaching of Computer Science, Mathematics, Physics, Language Arts and Speech; May, 1989.

#### **Professional Experience**

#### Specialist in Education

National Center for Supercomputing Applications, 605 E. Springfield Ave., Champaign, IL 61820. Supervisor - Scott Lathrop. August, 1991 – present. Administration and development of K-12 educational experiences at NCSA, education program development and proposals.

#### Teacher

Centennial High School, 913 S. Crescent Drive, Champaign, IL 61821. Principal - Dr. Lila Sullivan. August, 1994 - June, 1995. Hypermedia, Multimedia, Algebra, AP Pascal.

#### **Research Assistant**

Department of Speech and Hearing Science, 901 S. Sixth St., Champaign, IL 61820. Supervisor - Charissa Lansing. January, 1991 – August, 1991. Designed and programmed an experimental lesson in the TenCore authoring language to test hearing impaired people.

#### Instructor

Extramural Courses, University of Illinois, 302 E. John, Suite 1406, Champaign, IL 61820. August, 1990 – present. Independently prepared and taught computer courses on WordPerfect, Telecommunications, Introduction to Microcomputers, Internet, and Simulation and Modeling.

#### **Grant Activity**

Workshop to Integrate Computer-based Modeling and Scientific Visualization into K-12 Teacher Education Programs; National Science Foundation, EHR/REC/Research in Education Policy and Practice; 2000.

Moving K-12 Teachers into 21st Century Science with 21st Century Technology, Building the Educational Grid for Pre-Service Training, a Catalyst Program; U.S. Department of Education, Preparing Tomorrow's Teachers to Use Technology; 1999-2001.

ChemViz II: A Visual High School Chemistry Curriculum; National Science Foundation, EHR/ESIE/Instructional Materials Development; 1999 – 2001.

Resource for Science Education; National Science Foundation, EHR/ESIE/Teacher Enhancement; 1993-1996.

Education Affiliates in High Performance Computing and Communications; National Science Foundation, EHR/ESIE/Teacher Enhancement; 1993-1996.

#### **Selected Publications**

- Brehm, Barbara, Juan Moran, Lisa Bievenue, James Andris, Marianne Handler, Jim Levin, and Anneliese Payne. "Integrating Technology into Teacher and Administrator Preparation Programs: Five Models in Illinois," *Illinois School Research and Development Journal.* (Fall, 1997).
- Bievenue, Lisa and Edna Gentry. "Transforming Teaching for the 21<sup>st</sup> Century," *Proceedings of Supercomputing* 97. (ACM, 1997).
- Lansing, Charissa and Lisa Bievenue. "Intelligent Computer-Based Systems to Document the Effectiveness of Consonant Recognition Training," 96:1 *The Volta Review* 41-49 (1994).
- Bievenue, Lisa and Eva Erdosne Toth. "The NCSA Living Laboratory: Preparing Students for a High Tech World," *Technology and Teacher Education Annual* (Association for the Advancement of Computing in Education, 1992).
- Nagel, Stuart and Lisa Bievenue. "Education Policy and Multi-Criteria Decision-Making" Chapter in Analytic Methods for Educational Productivity (1990).

\_\_\_\_\_. "Using Decision-Aiding Software for Teaching in All Fields of Knowledge" *Collegiate Microcomputer* (1990).

## Collaborators

University of Illinois	M. Pauline Baker, Richard Braatz, Bertram (Chip) Bruce, Mike Folk, James Levin, Terry McClaren, Stuart Nagel, Steve Petrowicz, Rene Stofflett, Michael Waugh
Illinois State University	Barbara Brehm
Southern Illinois University, Edwardsville	James Andris
National Louis University	Marianne Handler
Eastern Illinois University	Judith Ivarie, Henry Taitt
ASPIRE, University of Alabama, Hunstville	Edna Gentry, Carl Davis
BioQUEST	Ethel Stanley
Maryland Virtual High School	Mary Ellen Verona, Susan Ragan
Ohio Supercomputing Center	Steve Gordon, Tom Stone
Shodor Education Foundation	Robert Panoff, Robert Gotwals
SRI International	Robert Kozma

#### **Graduate Advisors**

Richard Dennis Michael Waugh

# **Dennis Gannon**

# **Professional Preparation:**

B.S., University of California, Davis, 1969 (Mathematics) M.S., University of California, Davis, 1971 (Mathematics) Ph.D., University of California, Davis, 1974 (Mathematics) Ph.D., University of Illinois, 1980 (Computer Science)

# Appointments:

Professor and Department Chair, Computer Science, Indiana University (1997-present). Visiting Scientist, NASA Ames Research Center, 1999-present (on leave from IU) Associate Professor, Computer Science, Purdue University, 1985. Assistant Professor, Computer Science, Purdue University, 1980-1985. Senior Visiting Research Scientist, Center for Supercomputer Research and Development, UIUC, 1985-90.

# Synergistic Activities:

Dr. Gannon's research interests include programming systems and tools, distributed computing, computer networks, parallel programming, computational science, problem solving environments and performance analysis of MPP systems. Much of his work involves the application of object oriented software technology to the design of tools and application for scientific computation. He led the DARPA HPC++ initiative, which has led to a set of standard interfaces to object-oriented runtime systems for large-scale parallel and distributed computing. The core of this work, HPC++Lib, is used in a variety of applications. Most recently he has been involved with the Department of Energy DOE2000 Common Component Architecture (CCA) project. This work has led to a framework for building component-based scientific applications called the Common Component Architecture Toolkit which uses HPC++ and Java to encapsulate and reuse Fortranbased scientific code in distributed applications. He is also the author of the Sage++ compiler toolkit, which has been used in dozens of research compiler projects at universities and government labs. Professor Gannon also worked with associates at Indiana University, Caltech, Los Alamos, Drexel, and the University of California at Irvine on the design of a software infrastructure for Problem Solving Environments as part of their NSF PSE Challenge project. In addition, he was a partner in the NSF Computational Cosmology Grand Challenge project and the NCSA Alliance where he is helping to lead an effort to design distributed scientific portals. He also chairs the "Concurrency and Parallelism" subgroup of the Java Grande Forum. In 1998, he took two years off from Indiana University to devote to the NASA IPG project. Dr. Gannon has served as General Chair of the 1998 International Symposium on Scientific Object Oriented Programming Environments (ISCOPE) and the 2000 ACM Java Grande Conference, and Program Chair for the 1997 ACM International Conference on Supercomputing as well as the 1995 IEEE Frontiers of Massively Parallel Processing. He has served on steering committees and program committees on numerous additional major conferences.

In the area of education, he chaired the committee that made the initial design for Indiana University's new School of Informatics. This school extends education in information technology to areas that are well beyond the traditional computer science/engineering curriculum. At Indiana, Informatics refers to the study of the application of IT in areas such as Economics, Business, the Social and Physical Sciences and the Arts. Students from this school will be the builders of the information economy of the 21<sup>st</sup> century. While at Purdue University, Dr. Gannon was awarded the Outstanding Teacher in the School of Science from 1981-1984.

# **Publications:**

- 1. "Component architectures for distributed scientific problem solving", D. Gannon, R. Bramley, T. Stuckey, J. Villacis, J. Balasubramanian, E. Akman, F. Breg, S. Diwan and M. Govindaraju, *IEEE Computational Science and Engineering*, vol 5, no. 2, 1998, pp. 50--63.
- 2. K. Keahey and D. Gannon, "PARDIS: CORBA-based Architecture for Application-Level Parallel Distributed Computation", Kate Keahey and D. Gannon, Proceedings Supercomputing `97, November 1997, San Jose.
- 3. Fabian Breg, Shridhar Diwan, Juan Villacis, Jayashree Balasubramanian, Esra Akman, Dennis Gannon, "Java RMI Performance and Object Model Interoperability: Experiments with Java/HPC++ Distributed Components", to appear in the Proceedings of the 3rd High Performance Java Workshop (*Journal of Concurrency: Practice and Experience*), 1998.
- 4. M. Norman, P. Beckman, G. Bryan, J Dubinski, D. Gannon, L. Hernquist, K. Keahey, J.P. Ostriker, J. Shalf, J. Welling, S. Yang, "Galaxies Collide on the I-WAY: An Example of Heterogeneous Wide-Area Collaborative Supercomputing", *Journal of Supercomputing Applications*, MIT Press, 1996.
- 5. D. Gannon, and A. Grimshaw, "Object-Based Approaches", (*The Grid: Blueprint for a New Computing Infrastructure*}, Ian Foster and Carl Kesselman (Eds.), pp. 205--236, Morgan-Kaufman, 1998.

# Other Relevant Publications:

- 1. W. Johnston, D. Gannon, B. Nitzberg, "Grids as Production Computing Environments: The Engineering Aspects of NASAs Information Power Grid," Proceedings, High Performance Distributed Computing Conference 1999.
- R. Armstrong, D. Gannon, A. Geist, K. Keahey, S. Kohn, L. McInnes, S. Parker, B. Smolinski, "Toward a Common Component Architecture for High-Performance Scientific Computing," Proceedings, High Performance Distributed Computing Conference 1999.
- 3. J. Villacis, M.Govindaraju, D. Stern, A. Whitaker, F. Breg, P. Deuskar, B. Temko, D. Gannon, R. Bramley, "CAT: A High Performance, Distributed Component Architecture Toolkit for the Grid," Proceedings High Performance Distributed Computing Conference 1999.
- "Capabilities Based Communication Model for High-Performance Distributed Applications: The Open HPC++ Approach", S. Diwan and D. Gannon. Proceedings of the Second Merged Symposium IPPS/SPDP, April, 1999.
- 5. "PARDIS: A Parallel Approach to CORBA", Kate Keahey and D. Gannon Proceedings 6th IEEE International Symposium on High Performance Distributed Computation, August 1997, Portland.

# **Collaborators:**

Randall Bramley (Indiana), K. Mani Chandy (Caltech), Bruce Char (Drexel), John Reinders (Los Alamos), Andrew Grimshaw (Virginia), David Padua (Illinois), Allen Malony (Oregon), Geoffrey Fox (Syracuse), Joel Saltz (Maryland), J.P. Ostriker (Princeton), Mike Norman (NCSA), Dirk Grunwald (Colorado), Bart Miller (Wisconsin), C. Johnson (Utah), S. Parker (Utah) Geoffrey Fox (Florida), Bill Johnston (LBL/NASA), Bill Nitzberg (NASA), R. Armstrong (Sandia), Scott Kohn (LLNL), Fran Berman (UCSD), Andrew Chien (UCSD) and the other PIs on this proposal.

# Graduate Students and Postdocs:

Peter Beckman (Post Doc), Shelby Yang (Post Doc), Francois Bodin (Post Doc), Aart Bik (Post Doc). Students: S. Diwan (HP), J. Villacis, Kate Keahey (LANL), Suresh Srinivas (SGI), Neel Sundaresan (IBM), S. R. Sarukkai (HP), J. K. Lee (NTHU), Jacob Gotwals (Intel), Larry Tenny (IU-UCS), Daya Atapattu, A. Kapauan, Ko-Yang Wang (IBM), Elizabeth Johnson (Xavier U), Mann-Ho Lee, Jairo Panneta, S. Bechtolsheim, and three current Ph.D. students.

# Thesis Advisor: Bill Gear

# Raquell M. Holmes, Ph.D.

# **Boston University**

### 3 Cummington St. Boston, MA 02215 (617) 353-6266 (office) /// (617) 353-6062 (FAX) rmholmes@bu.edu (*e-mail*)

# Education

1997	Ph.D., Cell and Developmental Biology, Sackler School of Graduate Biomedical Sciences,
	Tufts University, Boston MA.
1993	Physiology Course, Marine Biological Laboratory, Woods Hole, MA.
1991	BA, Biology, University of California at Santa Cruz, CA.

### Positions:

1998-	Program Manager, Center for Computational Science, Boston University, Boston, MA
1998-	Coordinator of Recruitment and Retention, Bioinformatics Graduate Program, Boston
	University, Boston, MA
1997-1998	Research Fellow, Department of Pathology, Harvard University, Boston, MA.
1997-1998	Research Fellow, Dana Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA

## Awards and Honors

1997-1998	National Research Service Award (NRSA), NIH.
1991-1996	Minority Access to Research Careers (MARC), Predoctoral Fellow, NIH
1993	Marine Biological Laboratories (MBL) Porter Foundation Scholarship
1993	MBL American Society for Cell Biology
1989-1991	MARC- NRSA, NIH

## **Professional Affiliations/Service**

1992-	Member, American Society for Cell Biology (Update membership)
1998-	Member, American Association for the Advancement of Science
1999-	Member, Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers (IEEE)
1999-	Member, Admissions Committee, Bioinformatics Graduate Program, Boston, University
1999-	Member, Committee of the Northeast Alliance for Minority Graduate Education, Boston
	University, Boston, MA.
1999-	Editor, BioQUEST Library, BioQUEST Curriculum Consortium

# Publications

Holmes, RM, Cuhna MJ and Albertini DF. Cytoskeleton-mediated aspects of signal transduction. In: Getzenberg RH, ed. Cell Structure and Signaling. JAI Press Inc., 1997:95-123 (Bittar EE, ed. Advances in Molecular and Cell Biology; vol 24)

Can A, Holmes RM and Albertini DF. Analysis of the mammalian ovary by confocal microscoy. In Motta PM ed. Microscopy of Reproduction and Development.

Messinger SM, Can A, Holmes RM, Mak E and Albertini DF (submitted). Pesticide-induced disruption of cell cycle progression in primate ovarian cells. Environ and Molec Mutagen.

Holmes RM and Albertini DF (in preparation). Development of mouse ovarian follicles involves coordinated expression of cadherins and phosphotyrosine.

Giles, RC and Holmes, RM. (submitted). Minority Participation in Computational Science. Computers in Science and Engineering

# Vita for Scott Lathrop July, 1999

Education:	B.A. in Mathematics - University of Rochester, Rochester, New York
Employment:	Employed by the University of Illinois since 1973. 1973 to Feb. 1986 - Computing Services Office Feb. 1986 to present - National Center for Supercomputing Applications
Experience:	Experience on mainframes including IBM OS/MVT, IBM VM/CMS, DEC- 10 TOPS, CDC Cyber NOS, and VAX 11/780 UNIX systems. Experience on supercomputers including CRAY X-MP/48 with CTSS and UNICOS and CRAY-2 with UNICOS. Experience with various workstations including IBM PCs, Apple Macintosh and SUN.
	Three years experience with data communications and networking including modems, multiplexors, and local area networks using baseband, broadband and fiber-optic media. Direct experience has been with Sytek, HYPERchannel, Ethernet, ProNET and IBM PC Networks and helping define the specifications for the campus backbone data network, and helped select and test the equipment.
	In my years with NCSA, I enjoyed a challenging professional growth path. This has included supervising activities in documentation, visualization, applications software, consulting, training, and education.
	As the Program Manager for Education, Outreach and Training (EOT), I assist the Senior Associate Director in the activities related to External Programs. External Programs works with Industry, Government and Education to identify and support collaborations with the National Computational Science Alliance (the Alliance). The Alliance is a ten year NSF funded program to create an advanced computational infrastructure for the 21 <sup>st</sup> Century. Through these activities, I am involved in working with the national Education, Outreach and Training partners of the National Computational Science Alliance.
Associations:	Member of ACM, IEEE
Grants:	<ul> <li>\$75,000 - NSF grant for 1987 Summer Institute</li> <li>\$42,062 - NSF grant for 1988 Summer Institute</li> <li>\$26,752 - NSF grant for Research Experiences for Undergraduates, 1990</li> <li>\$20,000 - NSF grant for Research Experiences for Undergraduates, 1991</li> <li>\$20,000 - NSF grant for Research Experiences for Undergraduates, 1992</li> <li>\$538,135 - NSF 3 year sub-contract for SuperQuest, 1991-1994</li> <li>\$155,690 NSF grant for HPCC Education Affiliations</li> <li>\$256,588 - NSF grant for Resource for Science Education</li> <li>\$553,000 - NSF grant for Networking Infrastructure for Education</li> </ul>

\$21,980 - NSF grant for EUFOTTET Project\$319,710 - NSF grant for Biology Student Workbench

#### Dr. Robert M. Panoff

**Dr. Robert M. Panoff** is founder and Executive Director of The Shodor Education Foundation, Inc., a non-profit education and research corporation dedicated to reform and improvement of mathematics and science education by appropriate incorporation of computational and communication technologies. Under his leadership, the Shodor Foundation has established itself as a national leader developing innovative approaches to the revitalization of undergraduate education. In 1996, the National Science Foundation named Shodor as a Foundation Partner in this effort. Shodor is also a research partner of the National Computational Science Alliance, an NSF-funded effort under the Partnerships for Advanced Computational Infrastructure program.

As principal investigator on several grants and contracts that seek to explore the interaction of high performance computing technologies and education, Dr. Panoff has worked to develop a series of interactive simulations which combine supercomputing resources and desktop computers. Besides developing and teaching a new course in Information Technologies, he continues an active research program in computational condensed matter physics while defining and implementing educational initiatives at the Shodor Foundation. His research specialties are stochastic optimization, quantum simulations of strongly-correlated systems, and computational science education.

At Kansas State University and Clemson University from 1986-1990, he developed a fully interdisciplinary computational science and engineering course. He served as director of the Carolinas Institute in Computational Science, an NSF-funded initiative in Undergraduate Faculty Enhancement, 1991-1993. His work has won several major science and education awards, including the 1990 Cray Gigaflop Performance Award in Supercomputing, the 1994 and 1995 Undergraduate Computational Science Education Awards from the U.S. Department of Energy, and a 1995 Achievement Award from the Chicago Chapter of the Society for Technical Communication. In 1993-1994, his interactive simulations were used as the basis of an international science collaboration demonstrating network technologies involving four of the schools from the Department of Defense Dependent Schools, for which he received a letter of commendation from the Department of Defense.

Dr. Panoff has been a consultant at several national laboratories and is a frequent presenter at NSF- sponsored workshops on visualization, supercomputing, and networking. He has served on the advisory panel for Applications of Advanced Technology program at NSF. Dr. Panoff received his B.S. in physics from the University of Notre Dame and his M.A. and Ph.D. in theoretical physics from Washington University in St. Louis, undertaking both pre- and postdoctoral work at the Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences at New York University.

The following publications relate directly to the are of Computational Science Education:

"Visualization of the local contribution to the nodal surface of a many-fermion wave function, " A. C. Calder, M. R. Curry, R. M. Panoff, and Y. J. Wong, Phys. Rev. **E**53, 5451 (1996).

"The Four A's of CSE Education: Application, Algorithm, Architecture, and Active Learning," Computational Science & Engineering, Vol. 2, No. 4, 6 (1995).

"Experiences in Building the Computational Science Program at Clemson," D. E. Stevenson and R. M. Panoff, IEEE Proceedings of Supercomputing '90, 366, 1990.

"UNIX for Super Computing?" UNIX Review, Vol. 8, 54 (1990).

The following publications are representative of Dr. Panoff's research work:

"Fermion Monte Carlo Algorithms for Quantum Fluids," R. M. Panoff, in *Recent Progress in Many-Body Theories, Vol. 2*, ed. by Y. Avishai, (Plenum, New York, 1990).

"Elementary Excitations of Spin-Aligned Deuterium," R. Dave, J. W. Clark, and R. M. Panoff, Phys. Rev. **B**41, 757 (1990).

"Fermion Monte Carlo Algorithms and Liquid <sup>3</sup>He," R. M. Panoff and J. Carlson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **62** 1130 (1989).

"Momentum Distributions in Quantum Liquids from Green's Function Monte Carlo Calculations," R. M. Panoff and P. A. Whitlock, *Momentum Distributions*, ed. by R. Silver, (Plenum, New York, 1989).

"Ground-state Phases of Polarized Deuterium Species," R. M. Panoff and J. W. Clark, Phys. Rev. **B**36, 5527 (1987).

Dr. Panoff has supervised the following Master's theses during the past five years: at Clemson University: Marc R. Curry, Brent M. Han, and Alan C. Calder. He has also directed the undergraduate research of more than a dozen undergraduate students at Clemson and the University of Illinois.

Dr. Panoff received his doctorate under Prof. John W. Clark at Washington University in St. Louis. His postdoctoral work was under Dr. Malvin H. Kalos. During the last four years he has continued to work closely with Drs. Michael A. Lee, Joseph A. Carlson, Kevin E. Schmidt, and Paula A. Whitlock on problems in condensed matter physics. He works with Dr. Daniel D. Warner and Dr. D. E. Stevenson of Clemson University, and Dr. Holly P. Hirst of Appalachian State University on developing curricula and materials in computational science education.

# **NSF Biographical Sketch of Dennis E. Stevenson**

# **EDUCATION**

Ph.D., Clemson University, 1983, Mathematical Sciences. (Dr. D. D. Warner)M.S., Rutgers University, 1975, Computer Science.A. B., Eastern Michigan University, 1965.

# EXPERIENCE

# Academic

Department of Computer Science, Clemson University, Clemson, SC. Associate Professor (1987-present), Assistant Professor (1983-1987), Lecturer (1980-1983).

Industrial Bell Telephone Laboratories, Piscataway, NJ. Member of Technical Staff (1969-1980).

# Military

Captain, US Army, Infantry. Service in Viet Nam, Combat Infantryman's Badge, Bronze Star. (1965-1969).

# MEMBERSHIPS

Member of American Mathematical Society, Association for Computing Machinery, Association for Symbolic Logic, Society of Industrial and Applied Mathematics.

# **PROFESSIONAL SERVICE**

Past Member of the Department of Energy's Undergraduate Computational Engineering and Science Group sponsored by Ames Labs, Iowa.

# **RESEARCH GRANTS**

Investigator on "The Carolinas Summer Institute in Computational Science", Funded by NSF. Ongoing.

Principal Investigator, "Validation and Verification of Scientific Models", US Army, DAAE07-97-C-X130. Continuance begin negotiated.

Principal Investigator, "Development of Pre-Service Teacher Course in Science". Funded by NASA. Concluded.

Investigator on "The Component Packing Problem. A Vehicle for the Development of Multi-Disciplinary Design and Analysis Methodologies". Funded by NASA. Concluded.

Investigator on "The Carolinas Summer Institute in Computational Science", Funded by NSF. Concluded.

# **COLLABORATORS WITHIN PAST 48 MONTHS**

D. D. Edie, Clemson University G. Fadel, Clemson University

R. H. Nowaczyk, Clemson University

R. M. Panoff, Shodor Foundation, Inc.

D. D. Warner, Clemson University

# **RECENT PUBLICATIONS RELATING TO RESEARCH**

D. E. Stevenson. ``A Foundation for Validation: The Michelson-Morley Experiment", Volume 1 of the *Proceedings of the 13th European Simulation Multiconference, Warsaw Poland, June 1–4, 1999.* 269–275 .

D. E. Stevenson, ``A Critical Look at the Quality of Large Scale Simulations". *Computing in Science and Engineering*, May-June, 1999, 53–63.

D. E. Stevenson. "Safer C: Can Integrity Be Brought to Scientific Simulations?" To appear *Scientific Programming*.

D. E. Stevenson, ``What Is Computational Knowledge and How Do We Acquire It?" Submitted to *Synthese*.

D. E. Stevenson, "Introducing Computational Science Methods Using Parallax", *SIAM Review*. Mar, 1998, 40(1). 81–86.

D. E. Stevenson, ``How Goes CS\&E: Thoughts on the IEEE CS Workshop at Purdue. *IEEE Computational Science and Engineering*, **4**(2), Apr-Jun, 1997. 49–54.

D. E. Stevenson, "A Constructive Theory of Euclidean Geometry: The first twenty-eight theorems plus one", contributed talk, Annual Meeting, Association for Symbolic Logic, Madison, WI, 8-11 March 1996. Abstract to appear, Bull. of ASL.

D. E. Stevenson, "Software Engineering Frontiers in Computational Science," Proc. *33d Annual Southeast Conference*, Clemson, SC, 17–18 March 1995, 120–127.

UNDERGRADUATE ADVISEES Honors advisor.

#### GRADUATE ADVISEES

3 Current M.S. Students: Recent Ph.D. Students: [1995] William A. George, David A. Sykes. [1988] John (Abbas) Youseffi.

CURRENT AND PENDING SUPPORT FOR D. E. STEVENSON Current Support: Grant DUE-9752815, \$155,114

Pending Support:

Department of Energy EPSCoR, "Analysis and Testing Tools for Fortran and C++ in the ASCI Environment" Asking \$200,500.

Boston University         Image: Control of the c	AR	R	1			
Boston University         Image: Control of the c			FO	R NSF USE (	ONL	Y
PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR / PROJECT DIRECTOR         Image: Content of the image:	PRO	PROP	POSAL	NO. DUR	RATI	ON (months
Roscoe C Giles         Image: Comparison of the comparison of thecomparison of the compa				Prop	oose	d Granted
A. SENIOR PERSONNEL: FI/PD. Co-PI's, Faculty and Other Senior Associates (List each separately with title, A.7. show number in brackets) CAL [AC CAL [AC	AW	AWA	ARD N	1O.		
(List each separately with title, A.7. show number in brackets)         CAL         AC           1. Roscoe C Giles - PI         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.						
1. Roscoe C Giles - PI         0.000         0.           2. Geoffrey C Fox - co-PI         0.000         0.000           3. Louis M Gomez - co-PI         0.000         0.000           4. Raquell Holmes         5.000         0.           5. Reagan W Moore - co-PI         0.000         0.000           6. ( 0) OTHERS (LIST INDIVIDUALLY ON BUDGET JUSTIFICATION PAGE)         0.000         0.           7. ( 5) TOTAL SENIOR PERSONNEL (1-6)         5.000         0.         0.001         0.000         0.           8. OTHER PERSONNEL (SHOW NUMBERS IN BRACKETS)         0.000         0.         0.000         0.         0.000         0.000         0.           9. OTHER PROFESSIONALS (TECHNICIAN, PROGRAMMER, ETC.)         0.000         0.         0.000         0.         0.000         0.         0.000         0.         0.000         0.         0.000         0.         0.000         0.         0.000         0.         0.000         0.         0.000         0.         0.000         0.         0.000         0.         0.000         0.         0.000         0.         0.000         0.         0.000         0.         0.000         0.         0.000         0.         0.000         0.         0.000         0.         0.000	SF Funde erson-mos	Funded	1	Funds Requested	D./	Funds
2. Geoffrey C Fox - co-PI         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00	ACAD	CAD	SUMR	proposer	Бу Г	granted by NS (if different)
3. Louis M Gomez - co-PI       0.00       0.         4. Raquell Holmes       5.00       0.         5. Reagan W Moore - co-PI       0.00       0.00         0. 6. (0) OTHERS (LIST INDIVIDUALLY ON BUDGET JUSTIFICATION PAGE)       0.00       0.         7. (5) TOTAL SENIOR PERSONNEL (1-6)       5.00       0.         8. OTHER PERSONNEL (SHOW NUMBERS IN BRACKETS)       0.00       0.         1. (0) POST DOCTORAL ASSOCIATES       0.00       0.         2. (1) OTHER PROFESSIONALS (TECHNICIAN, PROGRAMMER, ETC.)       0.00       0.         3. (20) GRADUATE STUDENTS	0.00	0.00	5.00	\$ 57,6	<u>607</u>	\$
4. Raquell Holmes       5.00       0.         5. Reagan W Moore - co-PI       0.00       0.00         6. (0) OTHERS (LIST INDIVIDUALLY ON BUDGET JUSTIFICATION PAGE)       0.00       0.         7. (5) TOTAL SENIOR PERSONNEL (1 - 6)       5.00       0.         8. OTHER PERSONNEL (SHOW NUMBERS IN BRACKETS)       0.00       0.         9. (0) OTHER PROFESSIONALS (TECHNICIAN, PROGRAMMER, ETC.)       0.00       0.         9. (20) OTHER PROFESSIONALS (TECHNICIAN, PROGRAMMER, ETC.)       0.00       0.         9. (20) OTHER PROFESSIONALS (TECHNICIAN, PROGRAMMER, ETC.)       0.00       0.         9. (20) OTHER PROFESSIONALS (TECHNICIAN, PROGRAMMER, ETC.)       0.00       0.         9. (20) OTHER PROFESSIONALS (TECHNICIAN, PROGRAMMER, ETC.)       0.00       0.         9. (20) ORDERGRADUATE STUDENTS       5.       0.       0.00         6. (0) OTHER       CLERICAL (IF CHARGED DIRECT LOSTS)       TOTAL SALARIES AND WAGES (A + B)       0.         7. FRINGE BENEFITS (IF CHARGED AS DIRECT COSTS)       TOTAL SALARIES, WAGES AND FRINGE BENEFITS (A + B + C)       0.         9. EQUIPMENT       1. DOMESTIC (INCL. CANADA AND U.S. POSSESSIONS)       2. FOREIGN         1. STIPEPINS       0       2. FOREIGN       0.         2. FOREIGN       0       2. FOREIGN         9. (0) TOTAL EQUIPMENT<	0.00	0.00	0.00		0	
5. Reagan W Moore - co-PI       0.00       0.         6. (0) OTHERS (LIST INDIVIDUALLY ON BUDGET JUSTIFICATION PAGE)       0.00       0.         7. (5) TOTAL SENIOR PERSONNEL (1-6)       5.00       0.         B. OTHER PERSONNEL (SHOW NUMBERS IN BRACKETS)       0.00       0.         1. (0) POST DOCTORAL ASSOCIATES       0.00       0.         2. (0) OTHER PROFESSIONALS (TECHNICIAN, PROGRAMMER, ETC.)       0.00       0.         3. (20) GRADUATE STUDENTS       .       0.00       0.         4. (25) UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS       .       0.00       0.         5. (0) SCORETARIAL - CLERICAL (IF CHARGED DIRECTLY)       .       .       0.00         6. (0) OTHER       TOTAL SALARIES AND WAGES (A + B)       .       .         C. FRINGE BENEFITS (IF CHARGED AS DIRECT COSTS)       .       .       .         TOTAL SALARIES, WAGES AND FRINGE BENEFITS (A + B + C)       D.       D.       EQUIPMENT         E. TRAVEL       .       0       .       .       .         2. FOREIGN       .       2. FOREIGN       .       .       .         6. (1) TOTAL SALARIES       .       0       .       .       .       .         7. TOTAL SUPPORT COSTS       .       .       .       .       . <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td>					0	
6. ( 0) OTHERS (LIST INDIVIDUALLY ON BUDGET JUSTIFICATION PAGE)       0.00       0,         7. ( 5) TOTAL SENIOR PERSONNEL (1 - 6)       5.00       0.         8. OTHER PERSONNEL (SHOW NUMBERS IN BRACKETS)       0.00       0.         1. ( 0) POST DOCTORAL ASSOCIATES       0.00       0.         2. ( 0) OTHER PROFESSIONALS (TECHNICIAN, PROGRAMMER, ETC.)       0.00       0.         3. ( 20) GRADUATE STUDENTS       .       .       0.00       0.         4. ( 25) UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS       .       .       0.00       0.         5. ( 0) SECRETARIAL - CLERICAL (IF CHARGED DIRECTLY)       .       .       0.00       0.         6. ( 0) OTHER       TOTAL SALARIES AND WAGES (A + B)       .       .       .       .       .         7. OTAL SALARIES AND WAGES (A + B)       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       . <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td><u>854</u></td> <td></td>					<u>854</u>	
7. (5) TOTAL SENIOR PERSONNEL (1 - 6)       5.00       0.         B. OTHER PERSONNEL (SHOW NUMBERS IN BRACKETS)       0.00       0.         1. (0) POST DOCTORAL ASSOCIATES       0.00       0.         2. (0) OTHER PROFESSIONALS (TECHNICIAN, PROGRAMMER, ETC.)       0.00       0.         3. (20) GRADUATE STUDENTS       0.00       0.         4. (25) UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS       0.00       0.         5. (0) SECRETARIAL - CLERICAL (IF CHARGED DIRECTLY)       0.00       0.         6. (0) OTHER       TOTAL SALARIES AND WAGES (A + B)       0.       0.         C. FRINGE BENEFITS (IF CHARGED AS DIRECT COSTS)       TOTAL SALARIES, WAGES AND FRINGE BENEFITS (A + B + C)       0.         D. EQUIPMENT (LIST ITEM AND DOLLAR AMOUNT FOR EACH ITEM EXCEEDING \$5,000.)       0.       2. FOREIGN         TOTAL SUPPORT COSTS         0       2. FOREIGN       0         2. FOREIGN       0       3. SUBSISTENCE       0         3. SUBSISTENCE       0       0       3. SUBSISTENCE       0         4. OTHER       0       0       3. CONSULTANT SERVICES       0         3. SUBSISTENCE       0       0       3. CONSULTANT SERVICES       0         4. OMPUTER SERVICES       3. SUBAWARDS       5. OTHAL PARTICIPANT COSTS (H + I)       1					0	1
B. OTHER PERSONNEL (SHOW NUMBERS IN BRACKETS)  1. ( 0) POST DOCTORAL ASSOCIATES  2. ( 0) OTHER PROFESSIONALS (TECHNICIAN, PROGRAMMER, ETC.)  3. ( 20) GRADUATE STUDENTS  4. ( 25) UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS  5. ( 0) SECRETARIAL - CLERICAL (IF CHARGED DIRECTLY)  6. ( 0) SECRETARIAL - CLERICAL (IF CHARGED DIRECTLY)  6. ( 0) SECRETARIAL - CLERICAL (IF CHARGED DIRECTLY)  6. ( 0) SECRETARIAL - CLERICAL (IF CHARGED DIRECTLY)  7. ( 0) SECRETARIAL - CLERICAL (IF CHARGED DIRECTLY)  7. ( 0) SECRETARIAL - CLERICAL (IF CHARGED DIRECTLY)  7. ( 0) SECRETARIAL - CLERICAL (IF CHARGED DIRECTLY)  7. ( 0) SECRETARIAL - CLERICAL (IF CHARGED DIRECTLY)  7. ( 0) SECRETARIAL - CLERICAL (IF CHARGED AS DIRECT COSTS)  7. TOTAL SALARIES AND WAGES (A + B)  7. FRINGE BENEFITS (IF CHARGED AS DIRECT COSTS)  7. TOTAL SALARIES, WAGES AND FRINGE BENEFITS (A + B + C)  7. EQUIPMENT (LIST ITEM AND DOLLAR AMOUNT FOR EACH ITEM EXCEEDING \$5,000.)  7. TOTAL EQUIPMENT  7. TOTAL EQUIPMENT  7. TOTAL EQUIPMENT  7. TOTAL EQUIPMENT  7. TOTAL PARTICIPANT SUPPORT COSTS  7. SUBSISTENCE  7. O  7. CONSULTANT SUPPORT COSTS  7. SUBSISTENCE  7. O  7. CONSULTANT SERVICES  7. COMPUTER SERVICES  7. SUBAWARDS  7. OTHER DIRECT COSTS  7. TOTAL OTHER  7. TOTAL OTHER DIRECT COSTS  7. TOTAL OTHER DIRECT COSTS  7. MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES  7. PUBLICATION COSTS/DOCUMENTATION/DISSEMINATION  7. CONSULTANT SERVICES  7. SUBAWARDS  7. OTHER  7. TOTAL OTHER DIRECT COSTS  7. TOTAL OTHER THROUGH G)  7. TOTAL DIRECT COSTS (F&A)  7. TOTAL OTHER THROUGH G)  7. TOTAL OTHER THROUGH G)  7. TOTAL OTHER ON INDIRECT COSTS (H + I)  7. RESIDUAL FUNDS (IF FOR FURTHER SUPPORT OF CURRENT PROJECTS SEE GPG III  7. AMOUNT OF THIS REQUEST (J) OR (J MINUS K)  7. COST SHARING PROPOSED LEVEL \$ 0 AGREED LEVEL IF DIFFE  7. /PD TYPED NAME & SIGNATURE*					0	
1. ( 0) POST DOCTORAL ASSOCIATES       0.00       0.         2. ( 0) OTHER PROFESSIONALS (TECHNICIAN, PROGRAMMER, ETC.)       0.00       0.         3. ( 20) GRADUATE STUDENTS       .       .         4. ( 25) UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS       .       .         5. ( 0) SECRETARIAL - CLERICAL (IF CHARGED DIRECTLY)       .       .         6. ( 0) OTHER       .       .       .         TOTAL SALARIES AND WAGES (A + B)       .       .       .         C. FRINGE BENEFITS (IF CHARGED AS DIRECT COSTS)       .       .       .         TOTAL SALARIES, WAGES AND FRINGE BENEFITS (A + B + C)       .       .       .         D. EQUIPMENT (LIST ITEM AND DOLLAR AMOUNT FOR EACH ITEM EXCEEDING \$5,000.)       .       .       .         TOTAL EQUIPMENT       .       .       .       .       .         E. TRAVEL       .       .       .       .       .       .       .         2. FOREIGN       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       <	0.00	0.00	5.00	85,4	<u>461</u>	
2. ( 0) OTHER PROFESSIONALS (TECHNICIAN, PROGRAMMER, ETC.) 0.00 0. 3. ( 20) GRADUATE STUDENTS 4. ( 25) UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS 5. ( 0) SECRETARIAL - CLERICAL (IF CHARGED DIRECTLY) 6. ( 0) OTHER TOTAL SALARIES AND WAGES (A + B) C. FRINGE BENEFITS (IF CHARGED AS DIRECT COSTS) TOTAL SALARIES, WAGES AND FRINGE BENEFITS (A + B + C) D. EQUIPMENT (LIST ITEM AND DOLLAR AMOUNT FOR EACH ITEM EXCEEDING \$5,000.) TOTAL SALARIES, WAGES AND FRINGE BENEFITS (A + B + C) D. EQUIPMENT (LIST ITEM AND DOLLAR AMOUNT FOR EACH ITEM EXCEEDING \$5,000.) TOTAL EQUIPMENT E. TRAVEL 1. DOMESTIC (INCL. CANADA AND U.S. POSSESSIONS) 2. FOREIGN F. PARTICIPANT SUPPORT COSTS 1. STIPENDS \$ 0 2. TRAVEL 0 3. SUBSISTENCE 0 4. OTHER DIRECT COSTS 1. MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES 2. PUBLICATION COSTS/DOCUMENTATION/DISSEMINATION 3. CONSULTANT SERVICES 4. COMPUTER SERVICES 5. SUBAWARDS 6. OTHER TOTAL DIRECT COSTS (H. 1) H. TOTAL DIRECT COSTS (FAA)(SPECIFY RATE AND BASE) % of MTTDC (Rate: 63.0000, Base: 826320) TOTAL INDIRECT COSTS (FAA)(SPECIFY RATE AND BASE) % of MITDC (Rate: 63.0000, Base: 826320) TOTAL INDIRECT COSTS (FAA)(SPECIFY RATE AND BASE) % of MITDC (Rate: 63.0000, Base: 826320) TOTAL INDIRECT COSTS (FAA)(SPECIFY RATE AND BASE) % of MITDC (Rate: 63.0000, Base: 826320) TOTAL INDIRECT COSTS (FAA)(SPECIFY RATE AND BASE) % of MITDC (Rate: 63.0000, Base: 826320) TOTAL INDIRECT COSTS (FAA) J. TOTAL DIRECT COSTS (H + I) K. RESIDUAL FUNDS (IF FOR FURTHER SUPPORT OF CURRENT PROJECTS SEE GPG III L. AMOUNT OF THIS REQUEST (J) OR (J MINUS K) M. COST SHARING PROPOSED LEVEL \$ 0 AGREED LEVEL IF DIFFE PI / PD TYPED NAME & SIGNATURE* DATE						
3. ( 20) GRADUATE STUDENTS 4. ( 25) UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS 5. ( 0) SECRETARIAL - CLERICAL (IF CHARGED DIRECTLY) 6. ( 0) OTHER TOTAL SALARIES AND WAGES (A + B) C. FRINGE BENEFITS (IF CHARGED AS DIRECT COSTS) TOTAL SALARIES, WAGES AND FRINGE BENEFITS (A + B + C) D. EQUIPMENT (LIST ITEM AND DOLLAR AMOUNT FOR EACH ITEM EXCEEDING \$5,000.)  TOTAL EQUIPMENT E. TRAVEL 1. DOMESTIC (INCL. CANADA AND U.S. POSSESSIONS) 2. FOREIGN F. PARTICIPANT SUPPORT COSTS 0. TRAVEL 0. COMPUTENT C. TRAVEL 0. COMPUTENT C. TOTAL PARTICIPANT COSTS 0. OTHER DIRECT COSTS 1. MATERIALS AND SUPPORT COSTS 2. FOREIGN C. OTHER DIRECT COSTS 1. MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES 2. PUBLICATION COSTS/DOCUMENTATION/DISSEMINATION 3. CONSULTANT SERVICES 4. COMPUTER SERVICES 5. SUBAWARDS 6. OTHER TOTAL OTHER DIRECT COSTS (H. 1) C. COMPUTER SERVICES 5. SUBAWARDS 6. OTHER COMPUTER COSTS (F&A) J. TOTAL DIRECT COSTS (H. 1) K. RESIDUAL FUNDS (IF FOR FURTHER SUPPORT OF CURRENT PROJECTS SEE GPG II.I L. AMOUNT OF THIS REQUEST (J) OR (J MINUS K) M. COST SHARING PROPOSED LEVEL \$ 0 AGREED LEVEL IF DIFFE PI / PD TYPED NAME & SIGNATURE* DATE					0	
4. (25) UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS         5. (0) SECRETARIAL - CLERICAL (IF CHARGED DIRECTLY)         6. (0) OTHER         TOTAL SALARIES AND WAGES (A + B)         C. FRINGE BENEFITS (IF CHARGED AS DIRECT COSTS)         TOTAL SALARIES, WAGES AND FRINGE BENEFITS (A + B + C)         D. EQUIPMENT (LIST ITEM AND DOLLAR AMOUNT FOR EACH ITEM EXCEEDING \$5,000.)         TOTAL EQUIPMENT         E. TRAVEL       1. DOMESTIC (INCL. CANADA AND U.S. POSSESSIONS)         2. FOREIGN         FOREIGN         F. PARTICIPANT SUPPORT COSTS         0. SUBSISTENCE       0         4. OTHER       0         4. OTHER       0         4. OTHER       0         4. OTHER       0         2. PUBLICATION COSTS       0         3. SUBSISTENCE       0         4. OTHER       0         (0) TOTAL PARTICIPANT COSTS       0         3. OTHER DIRECT COSTS       1. MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES         2. PUBLICATION COSTS/DOCUMENTATION/DISSEMINATION       2. CONSULTANT SERVICES         5. SUBAWARDS       5. OTHER         TOTAL OTHER DIRECT COSTS (A THROUGH G)       1. NORRECT COSTS (FAA)(SPECIFY RATE AND BASE)         % of MTDC (Rate: 63.0000, Base: 826320)       TOTAL OTHER DINDIRECT COSTS (H + I)         <	0.00	0.00	0.00		0	
5. (0) SECRETARIAL - CLERICAL (IF CHARGED DIRECTLY)         6. (0) OTHER         TOTAL SALARIES AND WAGES (A + B)         C. FRINGE BENEFITS (IF CHARGED AS DIRECT COSTS)         TOTAL SALARIES, WAGES AND FRINGE BENEFITS (A + B + C)         D. EQUIPMENT (LIST ITEM AND DOLLAR AMOUNT FOR EACH ITEM EXCEEDING \$5,000.) <ul> <li>EQUIPMENT (LIST ITEM AND DOLLAR AMOUNT FOR EACH ITEM EXCEEDING \$5,000.)</li> <li>E TRAVEL</li> <li>1. DOMESTIC (INCL. CANADA AND U.S. POSSESSIONS)</li> <li>2. FOREIGN</li> <li>SUBSISTENCE</li> <li>0</li> <li>SUBSISTENCE</li> <li>0</li> <li>SUBSISTENCE</li> <li>0</li> <li>COTHER DIRECT COSTS</li> <li>G. OTHER DIRECT COSTS</li> <li>G. OTHER DIRECT COSTS</li> <li>G. OTHER DIRECT COSTS</li> <li>SUBULCATION COSTS/DOCUMENTATION/DISSEMINATION</li> <li>CONSULTANT SERVICES</li> <li>SUBAWARDS</li> <li>OTTAL DIRECT COSTS (F&amp;A)</li> <li>I. NDIRECT COSTS (F&amp;A)(SPECIFY RATE AND BASE)</li> <li>% of MTDC (Rate: 63.0000, Base: 826320)</li> <li>TOTAL DIRECT COSTS (F&amp;A)</li> <li>J. TOTAL DIRECT COSTS (F&amp;A)</li> <li>J. MODRECT COSTS (F&amp;A)</li> <li>J. MODRECT COSTS (F&amp;A)</li> <li>J. MOTAL DIRECT COSTS (F&amp;A)</li> <li>J. TOTAL DIRECT AND INDIRECT COSTS (H + I)<td></td><td></td><td></td><td>380,0</td><td>000</td><td></td></li></ul>				380,0	000	
				150,0	000	
TOTAL SALARIES AND WAGES (A + B) C. FRINGE BENEFITS (IF CHARGED AS DIRECT COSTS) TOTAL SALARIES, WAGES AND FRINGE BENEFITS (A + B + C) D. EQUIPMENT (LIST ITEM AND DOLLAR AMOUNT FOR EACH ITEM EXCEEDING \$5,000.)  TOTAL EQUIPMENT E. TRAVEL 1. DOMESTIC (INCL. CANADA AND U.S. POSSESSIONS) 2. FOREIGN  F. PARTICIPANT SUPPORT COSTS 1. STIPENDS \$ 0 2. TRAVEL 0 3. SUBSISTENCE 0 4. OTHER 0 ( 0) TOTAL PARTICIPANT COSTS 1. MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES 2. PUBLICATION COSTS/DOCUMENTATION/DISSEMINATION 3. CONSULTANT SERVICES 4. COMPUTER SERVICES 5. SUBAWARDS 6. OTHER TOTAL DIRECT COSTS 1. INDIRECT COSTS (A THROUGH G) 1. INDIRECT COSTS (A THROUGH G) 1. INDIRECT COSTS (F&A) J. TOTAL DIRECT COSTS (H + I) K. RESIDUAL FUNDS (IF FOR FURTHER SUPPORT OF CURRENT PROJECTS SEE GPG II.] L. AMOUNT OF THIS REQUEST (J) OR (J MINUS K) M. COST SHARING PROPOSED LEVEL \$ 0 AGREED LEVEL \$ 0 AGREED LEVEL IF DIFFE PI / PD TYPED NAME & SIGNATURE* DATE					0	
C. FRINGE BENEFITS (IF CHARGED AS DIRECT COSTS) TOTAL SALARIES, WAGES AND FRINGE BENEFITS (A + B + C) D. EQUIPMENT (LIST ITEM AND DOLLAR AMOUNT FOR EACH ITEM EXCEEDING \$5,000.)  TOTAL EQUIPMENT E. TRAVEL 1. DOMESTIC (INCL. CANADA AND U.S. POSSESSIONS) 2. FOREIGN  F. PARTICIPANT SUPPORT COSTS 0 2. TRAVEL 0 3. SUBSISTENCE 0 4. OTHER 0 ( 0) TOTAL PARTICIPANT COSTS 3. CONSULTANT SERVICES 4. COMPUTER SERVICES 5. SUBAWARDS 6. OTHER TOTAL OTHER DIRECT COSTS H. TOTAL OTHER DIRECT COSTS H. TOTAL OTHER DIRECT COSTS I. NATERIALS AND SUPPLIES 5. SUBAWARDS 6. OTHER TOTAL OTHER DIRECT COSTS H. TOTAL OTHER DIRECT COSTS H. TOTAL OTHER COSTS H. TOTAL OTHER DIRECT COSTS H. TOTAL OTHER COSTS H. TOTAL OTHER SUPPORT FATE AND BASE) % of MTDC (Rate: 63.0000, Base: 826320) TOTAL INDIRECT COSTS (F&A) J. TOTAL FOR FURTHER SUPPORT OF CURRENT PROJECTS SEE GPG II.I L. AMOUNT OF THIS REQUEST (J) OR (J MINUS K) M. COST SHARING PROPOSED LEVEL \$ 0 AGREED LEVEL IF DIFFE PI / PD TYPED NAME & SIGNATURE* DATE					0	
TOTAL SALARIES, WAGES AND FRINGE BENEFITS (A + B + C) D. EQUIPMENT (LIST ITEM AND DOLLAR AMOUNT FOR EACH ITEM EXCEEDING \$5,000.)  TOTAL EQUIPMENT E. TRAVEL 1. DOMESTIC (INCL. CANADA AND U.S. POSSESSIONS) 2. FOREIGN  F. PARTICIPANT SUPPORT COSTS 0. SUBSISTENCE 0 3. SUBSISTENCE 0 4. OTHER 0 0 ( 0) TOTAL PARTICIPANT COSTS 0. OTHER DIRECT COSTS 1. MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES 2. PUBLICATION COSTS/DOCUMENTATION/DISSEMINATION 3. CONSULTANT SERVICES 4. COMPUTER SERVICES 5. SUBAWARDS 6. OTHER TOTAL OTHER DIRECT COSTS H. TOTAL DIRECT COSTS (F&A) (SPECIFY RATE AND BASE) % of MTDC (Rate: 63.0000, Base: 826320) TOTAL INDIRECT COSTS (F&A) J. TOTAL DIRECT COSTS (H + I) K. RESIDUAL FUNDS (IF FOR FURTHER SUPPORT OF CURRENT PROJECTS SEE GPG II.I L. AMOUNT OF THIS REQUEST (J) OR (J MINUS K) M. COST SHARINO PROPOSED LEVEL \$ 0 AGREED LEVEL IF DIFFE PI / PD TYPED NAME & SIGNATURE* DATE				615,4	<u>461</u>	
D. EQUIPMENT (LIST ITEM AND DOLLAR AMOUNT FOR EACH ITEM EXCEEDING \$5,000.)  TOTAL EQUIPMENT  E. TRAVEL 1. DOMESTIC (INCL. CANADA AND U.S. POSSESSIONS)  2. FOREIGN  F. PARTICIPANT SUPPORT COSTS 1. STIPENDS  C. TRAVEL 0 3. SUBSISTENCE 0 4. OTHER 0 ( 0) TOTAL PARTICIPANT COSTS 1. MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES 2. PUBLICATION COSTS/DOCUMENTATION/DISSEMINATION 3. CONSULTANT SERVICES 4. COMPUTER SERVICES 5. SUBAWARDS 6. OTHER TOTAL DIRECT COSTS H. TOTAL DIRECT COSTS (H + I) K. RESIDUAL FUNDS (IF FOR FURTHER SUPPORT OF CURRENT PROJECTS SEE GPG II.] L. AMOUNT OF THIS REQUEST (J) OR (J MINUS K) M. COST SHARING PROPOSED LEVEL \$ 0 AGREED LEVEL IF DIFFE PI / PD TYPED NAME & SIGNATURE* DATE				17,8	862	
TOTAL EQUIPMENT E. TRAVEL 1. DOMESTIC (INCL. CANADA AND U.S. POSSESSIONS) 2. FOREIGN  F. PARTICIPANT SUPPORT COSTS 1. STIPENDS 0 2. TRAVEL 0 3. SUBSISTENCE 0 4. OTHER 0 5. OTHER DIRECT COSTS 1. MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES 2. PUBLICATION COSTS/DOCUMENTATION/DISSEMINATION 3. CONSULTANT SERVICES 4. COMPUTER SERVICES 5. SUBAWARDS 6. OTHER TOTAL DIRECT COSTS H. TOTAL DIRECT COSTS H. TOTAL DIRECT COSTS (F&A) 5. INDIRECT COSTS (F&A) 5. TOTAL DIRECT COSTS (F&A) 5. TOTAL DIRECT COSTS (H + I) 5. RESIDUAL FUNDS (IF FOR FURTHER SUPPORT OF CURRENT PROJECTS SEE GPG II. 6. AMOUNT OF THIS REQUEST (J) OR (J MINUS K) M. COST SHARING PROPOSED LEVEL \$ 0 AGREED LEVEL IF DIFFE PI / PD TYPED NAME & SIGNATUR* DATE				633,3	323	
1. STIPENDS       0         2. TRAVEL       0         3. SUBSISTENCE       0         4. OTHER       0         (0) TOTAL PARTICIPANT COSTS       0         G. OTHER DIRECT COSTS       1. MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES         2. PUBLICATION COSTS/DOCUMENTATION/DISSEMINATION       3. CONSULTANT SERVICES         3. CONSULTANT SERVICES       4. COMPUTER SERVICES         5. SUBAWARDS       6. OTHER         6. OTHER DIRECT COSTS (A THROUGH G)       1. INDIRECT COSTS (A THROUGH G)         1. INDIRECT COSTS (F&A) (SPECIFY RATE AND BASE)       % of MTDC (Rate: 63.0000, Base: 826320)         TOTAL INDIRECT COSTS (F&A)       J. TOTAL DIRECT AND INDIRECT COSTS (H + I)         K. RESIDUAL FUNDS (IF FOR FURTHER SUPPORT OF CURRENT PROJECTS SEE GPG II.]         L. AMOUNT OF THIS REQUEST (J) OR (J MINUS K)         M. COST SHARING PROPOSED LEVEL \$       0       AGREED LEVEL IF DIFFE         PI / PD TYPED NAME & SIGNATURE*       DATE       DATE				86,0	0	
1. STIPENDS       0         2. TRAVEL       0         3. SUBSISTENCE       0         4. OTHER       0         (0) TOTAL PARTICIPANT COSTS       0         G. OTHER DIRECT COSTS       1. MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES         2. PUBLICATION COSTS/DOCUMENTATION/DISSEMINATION       3. CONSULTANT SERVICES         3. CONSULTANT SERVICES       4. COMPUTER SERVICES         5. SUBAWARDS       6. OTHER         6. OTHER DIRECT COSTS (A THROUGH G)       1. INDIRECT COSTS (A THROUGH G)         1. INDIRECT COSTS (F&A) (SPECIFY RATE AND BASE)       % of MTDC (Rate: 63.0000, Base: 826320)         TOTAL INDIRECT COSTS (F&A)       J. TOTAL DIRECT AND INDIRECT COSTS (H + I)         K. RESIDUAL FUNDS (IF FOR FURTHER SUPPORT OF CURRENT PROJECTS SEE GPG II.]         L. AMOUNT OF THIS REQUEST (J) OR (J MINUS K)         M. COST SHARING PROPOSED LEVEL \$       0       AGREED LEVEL IF DIFFE         PI / PD TYPED NAME & SIGNATURE*       DATE       DATE				_		
1. STIPENDS       \$       0         2. TRAVEL       0         3. SUBSISTENCE       0         4. OTHER       0         (0) TOTAL PARTICIPANT COSTS       0         G. OTHER DIRECT COSTS       1. MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES         2. PUBLICATION COSTS/DOCUMENTATION/DISSEMINATION       3. CONSULTANT SERVICES         4. COMPUTER SERVICES       4. COMPUTER SERVICES         5. SUBAWARDS       6. OTHER         TOTAL OTHER DIRECT COSTS       4. CONTHER DIRECT COSTS (A THROUGH G)         1. INDIRECT COSTS (A THROUGH G)       1. INDIRECT COSTS (A THROUGH G)         1. INDIRECT COSTS (F&A)(SPECIFY RATE AND BASE)       % of MTDC (Rate: 63.0000, Base: 826320)         TOTAL DIRECT COSTS (F&A)       J. TOTAL DIRECT AND INDIRECT COSTS (H + I)         K. RESIDUAL FUNDS (IF FOR FURTHER SUPPORT OF CURRENT PROJECTS SEE GPG II.]         L. AMOUNT OF THIS REQUEST (J) OR (J MINUS K)         M. COST SHARING PROPOSED LEVEL \$       0         M. COST SHARING PROPOSED LEVEL \$       0						
2. TRAVEL 3. SUBSISTENCE 4. OTHER 0 ( 0) TOTAL PARTICIPANT COSTS G. OTHER DIRECT COSTS 1. MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES 2. PUBLICATION COSTS/DOCUMENTATION/DISSEMINATION 3. CONSULTANT SERVICES 4. COMPUTER SERVICES 5. SUBAWARDS 6. OTHER TOTAL OTHER DIRECT COSTS H. TOTAL DIRECT COSTS (A THROUGH G) 1. INDIRECT COSTS (F&A) (SPECIFY RATE AND BASE) % of MTDC (Rate: 63.0000, Base: 826320) TOTAL INDIRECT COSTS (F&A) J. TOTAL DIRECT COSTS (H + I) K. RESIDUAL FUNDS (IF FOR FURTHER SUPPORT OF CURRENT PROJECTS SEE GPG II.] L. AMOUNT OF THIS REQUEST (J) OR (J MINUS K) M. COST SHARING PROPOSED LEVEL \$ 0 AGREED LEVEL IF DIFFE PI / PD TYPED NAME & SIGNATURE* DATE						
3. SUBSISTENCE       0         4. OTHER       0         ( 0) TOTAL PARTICIPANT COSTS         G. OTHER DIRECT COSTS         1. MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES         2. PUBLICATION COSTS/DOCUMENTATION/DISSEMINATION         3. CONSULTANT SERVICES         4. COMPUTER SERVICES         5. SUBAWARDS         6. OTHER         TOTAL OTHER DIRECT COSTS         H. TOTAL DIRECT COSTS (A THROUGH G)         1. INDIRECT COSTS (F&A) (SPECIFY RATE AND BASE)         % of MTDC (Rate: 63.0000, Base: 826320)         TOTAL INDIRECT COSTS (F&A)         J. TOTAL DIRECT AND INDIRECT COSTS (H + I)         K. RESIDUAL FUNDS (IF FOR FURTHER SUPPORT OF CURRENT PROJECTS SEE GPG II.I         L. AMOUNT OF THIS REQUEST (J) OR (J MINUS K)         M. COST SHARING PROPOSED LEVEL \$       0         AGREED LEVEL IF DIFFE       PI / PD TYPED NAME & SIGNATURE*						
( ①) TOTAL PARTICIPANT COSTS         G. OTHER DIRECT COSTS         1. MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES         2. PUBLICATION COSTS/DOCUMENTATION/DISSEMINATION         3. CONSULTANT SERVICES         4. COMPUTER SERVICES         5. SUBAWARDS         6. OTHER         TOTAL OTHER DIRECT COSTS         H. TOTAL DIRECT COSTS (A THROUGH G)         1. INDIRECT COSTS (F&A)(SPECIFY RATE AND BASE)         % of MTDC (Rate: 63.0000, Base: 826320)         TOTAL INDIRECT COSTS (F&A)         J. TOTAL DIRECT AND INDIRECT COSTS (H + I)         K. RESIDUAL FUNDS (IF FOR FURTHER SUPPORT OF CURRENT PROJECTS SEE GPG II.]         L. AMOUNT OF THIS REQUEST (J) OR (J MINUS K)         M. COST SHARING PROPOSED LEVEL \$       ①         PI / PD TYPED NAME & SIGNATURE*       DATE						
G. OTHER DIRECT COSTS  1. MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES  2. PUBLICATION COSTS/DOCUMENTATION/DISSEMINATION  3. CONSULTANT SERVICES  4. COMPUTER SERVICES  5. SUBAWARDS  6. OTHER  TOTAL OTHER DIRECT COSTS  H. TOTAL DIRECT COSTS (A THROUGH G)  1. INDIRECT COSTS (F&A)(SPECIFY RATE AND BASE)  % of MTDC (Rate: 63.0000, Base: 826320)  TOTAL INDIRECT COSTS (F&A)  J. TOTAL DIRECT AND INDIRECT COSTS (H + I)  K. RESIDUAL FUNDS (IF FOR FURTHER SUPPORT OF CURRENT PROJECTS SEE GPG II.I  L. AMOUNT OF THIS REQUEST (J) OR (J MINUS K)  M. COST SHARING PROPOSED LEVEL \$  0 AGREED LEVEL IF DIFFE  PI / PD TYPED NAME & SIGNATURE*						
1. MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES         2. PUBLICATION COSTS/DOCUMENTATION/DISSEMINATION         3. CONSULTANT SERVICES         4. COMPUTER SERVICES         5. SUBAWARDS         6. OTHER         TOTAL OTHER DIRECT COSTS         H. TOTAL DIRECT COSTS (A THROUGH G)         1. INDIRECT COSTS (F&A)(SPECIFY RATE AND BASE)         % of MTDC (Rate: 63.0000, Base: 826320)         TOTAL INDIRECT COSTS (F&A)         J. TOTAL DIRECT AND INDIRECT COSTS (H + I)         K. RESIDUAL FUNDS (IF FOR FURTHER SUPPORT OF CURRENT PROJECTS SEE GPG II.I         L. AMOUNT OF THIS REQUEST (J) OR (J MINUS K)         M. COST SHARING PROPOSED LEVEL \$         PI / PD TYPED NAME & SIGNATURE*					0	
2. PUBLICATION COSTS/DOCUMENTATION/DISSEMINATION 3. CONSULTANT SERVICES 4. COMPUTER SERVICES 5. SUBAWARDS 6. OTHER TOTAL OTHER DIRECT COSTS H. TOTAL DIRECT COSTS (A THROUGH G) 1. INDIRECT COSTS (A THROUGH G) 1. INDIRECT COSTS (F&A)(SPECIFY RATE AND BASE) % of MTDC (Rate: 63.0000, Base: 826320) TOTAL INDIRECT COSTS (F&A) J. TOTAL DIRECT AND INDIRECT COSTS (H + I) K. RESIDUAL FUNDS (IF FOR FURTHER SUPPORT OF CURRENT PROJECTS SEE GPG II.I L. AMOUNT OF THIS REQUEST (J) OR (J MINUS K) M. COST SHARING PROPOSED LEVEL \$ 0 AGREED LEVEL IF DIFFE PI / PD TYPED NAME & SIGNATURE*						
3. CONSULTANT SERVICES         4. COMPUTER SERVICES         5. SUBAWARDS         6. OTHER         TOTAL OTHER DIRECT COSTS         H. TOTAL DIRECT COSTS (A THROUGH G)         1. INDIRECT COSTS (F&A)(SPECIFY RATE AND BASE)         % of MTDC (Rate: 63.0000, Base: 826320)         TOTAL INDIRECT COSTS (F&A)         J. TOTAL DIRECT AND INDIRECT COSTS (H + I)         K. RESIDUAL FUNDS (IF FOR FURTHER SUPPORT OF CURRENT PROJECTS SEE GPG II.I.         L. AMOUNT OF THIS REQUEST (J) OR (J MINUS K)         M. COST SHARING PROPOSED LEVEL \$       0         PI / PD TYPED NAME & SIGNATURE*       DATE				10.0	<u>0</u> 222	
4. COMPUTER SERVICES         5. SUBAWARDS         6. OTHER         TOTAL OTHER DIRECT COSTS         H. TOTAL DIRECT COSTS (A THROUGH G)         I. INDIRECT COSTS (F&A)(SPECIFY RATE AND BASE)         % of MTDC (Rate: 63.0000, Base: 826320)         TOTAL INDIRECT COSTS (F&A)         J. TOTAL DIRECT AND INDIRECT COSTS (H + I)         K. RESIDUAL FUNDS (IF FOR FURTHER SUPPORT OF CURRENT PROJECTS SEE GPG II.I         L. AMOUNT OF THIS REQUEST (J) OR (J MINUS K)         M. COST SHARING PROPOSED LEVEL \$         PI / PD TYPED NAME & SIGNATURE*				10,8	0	
5. SUBAWARDS         6. OTHER         TOTAL OTHER DIRECT COSTS         H. TOTAL DIRECT COSTS (A THROUGH G)         I. INDIRECT COSTS (F&A)(SPECIFY RATE AND BASE)         % of MTDC (Rate: 63.0000, Base: 826320)         TOTAL INDIRECT COSTS (F&A)         J. TOTAL DIRECT AND INDIRECT COSTS (H + I)         K. RESIDUAL FUNDS (IF FOR FURTHER SUPPORT OF CURRENT PROJECTS SEE GPG II.I         L. AMOUNT OF THIS REQUEST (J) OR (J MINUS K)         M. COST SHARING PROPOSED LEVEL \$         PI / PD TYPED NAME & SIGNATURE*					<u> </u>	
6. OTHER TOTAL OTHER DIRECT COSTS H. TOTAL DIRECT COSTS (A THROUGH G) I. INDIRECT COSTS (F&A)(SPECIFY RATE AND BASE) % of MTDC (Rate: 63.0000, Base: 826320) TOTAL INDIRECT COSTS (F&A) J. TOTAL DIRECT AND INDIRECT COSTS (H + I) K. RESIDUAL FUNDS (IF FOR FURTHER SUPPORT OF CURRENT PROJECTS SEE GPG II.I L. AMOUNT OF THIS REQUEST (J) OR (J MINUS K) M. COST SHARING PROPOSED LEVEL \$ 0 AGREED LEVEL IF DIFFE PI / PD TYPED NAME & SIGNATURE* DATE				2 712 0	0	
TOTAL OTHER DIRECT COSTS H. TOTAL DIRECT COSTS (A THROUGH G) I. INDIRECT COSTS (F&A)(SPECIFY RATE AND BASE) % of MTDC (Rate: 63.0000, Base: 826320) TOTAL INDIRECT COSTS (F&A) J. TOTAL DIRECT AND INDIRECT COSTS (H + I) K. RESIDUAL FUNDS (IF FOR FURTHER SUPPORT OF CURRENT PROJECTS SEE GPG II.I L. AMOUNT OF THIS REQUEST (J) OR (J MINUS K) M. COST SHARING PROPOSED LEVEL \$ 0 AGREED LEVEL IF DIFFE PI / PD TYPED NAME & SIGNATURE* DATE				3,713,8		
H. TOTAL DIRECT COSTS (A THROUGH G) I. INDIRECT COSTS (F&A)(SPECIFY RATE AND BASE) % of MTDC (Rate: 63.0000, Base: 826320) TOTAL INDIRECT COSTS (F&A) J. TOTAL DIRECT AND INDIRECT COSTS (H + I) K. RESIDUAL FUNDS (IF FOR FURTHER SUPPORT OF CURRENT PROJECTS SEE GPG II.I L. AMOUNT OF THIS REQUEST (J) OR (J MINUS K) M. COST SHARING PROPOSED LEVEL \$ 0 AGREED LEVEL IF DIFFE PI / PD TYPED NAME & SIGNATURE* DATE				20,5	<u>500</u> 1.40	
I. INDIRECT COSTS (F&A) (SPECIFY RATE AND BASE)         % of MTDC (Rate: 63.0000, Base: 826320)         TOTAL INDIRECT COSTS (F&A)         J. TOTAL DIRECT AND INDIRECT COSTS (H + I)         K. RESIDUAL FUNDS (IF FOR FURTHER SUPPORT OF CURRENT PROJECTS SEE GPG II.]         L. AMOUNT OF THIS REQUEST (J) OR (J MINUS K)         M. COST SHARING PROPOSED LEVEL \$       0         PI / PD TYPED NAME & SIGNATURE*       DATE				3,745,1		
% of MTDC (Rate: 63.0000, Base: 826320)         TOTAL INDIRECT COSTS (F&A)         J. TOTAL DIRECT AND INDIRECT COSTS (H + I)         K. RESIDUAL FUNDS (IF FOR FURTHER SUPPORT OF CURRENT PROJECTS SEE GPG II.I         L. AMOUNT OF THIS REQUEST (J) OR (J MINUS K)         M. COST SHARING PROPOSED LEVEL \$       0         PI / PD TYPED NAME & SIGNATURE*       DATE				4,465,1	134	
J. TOTAL DIRECT AND INDIRECT COSTS (H + I)         K. RESIDUAL FUNDS (IF FOR FURTHER SUPPORT OF CURRENT PROJECTS SEE GPG II.)         L. AMOUNT OF THIS REQUEST (J) OR (J MINUS K)         M. COST SHARING PROPOSED LEVEL \$       ()         PI / PD TYPED NAME & SIGNATURE*       DATE					-04	
K. RESIDUAL FUNDS (IF FOR FURTHER SUPPORT OF CURRENT PROJECTS SEE GPG II.I         L. AMOUNT OF THIS REQUEST (J) OR (J MINUS K)         M. COST SHARING PROPOSED LEVEL \$         PI / PD TYPED NAME & SIGNATURE*				520,5		
L. AMOUNT OF THIS REQUEST (J) OR (J MINUS K)         M. COST SHARING PROPOSED LEVEL \$       ()         PI / PD TYPED NAME & SIGNATURE*       DATE			<u>,                                     </u>	4,985,7	-	
M. COST SHARING PROPOSED LEVEL \$ () AGREED LEVEL IF DIFFE PI / PD TYPED NAME & SIGNATURE* DATE	II.D.7.j	I.D.7.j.)	)	A 4 007 -	<u>0</u> 715	
PI / PD TYPED NAME & SIGNATURE* DATE			<b>.</b>	\$ 4,985,7	/15	\$
	FEREN					
				NSF USE ON		
		1		ST RATE VE		1
ORG. REP. TYPED NAME & SIGNATURE* DATE Date Chee	necked	iecked	Dat	te Of Rate Sheet	ι	Initials - ORO

NSF Form 1030 (10/98) Supersedes all previous editions

1 \*SIGNATURES REQUIRED ONLY FOR REVISED BUDGET (GPG III.B)

\*\* C- Fringe Benefits
20.9%
\*\* E- Travel
Collaboration will require occasional face to face meetings of PI's and senior personnel. Attendance and presetation at related conferences.
\*\* G-5 Subcontracts
3 subawards
\*\* G-6 Other
Communication, software, postage

SUMMARY PROPOSAL BUDGE	Cu ET		FO	R NSF	USE ONL	Y
ORGANIZATION		PRO	POSAL	NO.	DURATIO	ON (month
Boston University					Proposed	d Grante
PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR / PROJECT DIRECTOR		AM	/ARD N	Ю.		
Roscoe C Giles				1		
A. SENIOR PERSONNEL: PI/PD, Co-PI's, Faculty and Other Senior Associates	F	SF Funde	d 3	Re	Funds quested By	Funds granted by N
(List each separately with title, A.7. show number in brackets)	CAL	-		I	proposer	granted by N (if differen
1. Roscoe C Giles - PI		0.00			57,607	\$
2. Geoffrey C Fox - co-PI		0.00			0	
3. Louis M Gomez - co-PI		0.00			0	
4. Raquell Holmes		0.00			27,854	
5. Reagan W Moore - co-PI		0.00			0	
6. ( ) OTHERS (LIST INDIVIDUALLY ON BUDGET JUSTIFICATION PAGE)		0.00			0	
7. ( 5) TOTAL SENIOR PERSONNEL (1 - 6)	5.00	0.00	5.00		85,461	
B. OTHER PERSONNEL (SHOW NUMBERS IN BRACKETS)	0.00	0.00	0.00		0	
1. (0) POST DOCTORAL ASSOCIATES		0.00			0	
2. (0) OTHER PROFESSIONALS (TECHNICIAN, PROGRAMMER, ETC.)	0.00	0.00	0.00		0	
3. (20) GRADUATE STUDENTS					380,000	
4. (25) UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS					150,000	
5. ( <b>0</b> ) SECRETARIAL - CLERICAL (IF CHARGED DIRECTLY) 6. ( <b>0</b> ) OTHER				1	<u> </u>	
TOTAL SALARIES AND WAGES (A + B)					<u> </u>	
C. FRINGE BENEFITS (IF CHARGED AS DIRECT COSTS)					17,862	
TOTAL SALARIES, WAGES AND FRINGE BENEFITS (A + B + C)					633,323	
TOTAL EQUIPMENT	NG \$5,00	0.)			0	
D. EQUIPMENT (LIST ITEM AND DOLLAR AMOUNT FOR EACH ITEM EXCEEDIN TOTAL EQUIPMENT E. TRAVEL 1. DOMESTIC (INCL. CANADA AND U.S. POSSESSIONS) 2. FOREIGN	NG \$5,00	0.)			0 86,663 0	
TOTAL EQUIPMENT E. TRAVEL 1. DOMESTIC (INCL. CANADA AND U.S. POSSESSIONS) 2. FOREIGN	NG \$5,00	0.)			86,663	
TOTAL EQUIPMENT  E. TRAVEL  1. DOMESTIC (INCL. CANADA AND U.S. POSSESSIONS)  2. FOREIGN  F. PARTICIPANT SUPPORT COSTS	NG \$5,00	0.)		-	86,663	
TOTAL EQUIPMENT  E. TRAVEL  1. DOMESTIC (INCL. CANADA AND U.S. POSSESSIONS)  2. FOREIGN  F. PARTICIPANT SUPPORT COSTS  1. STIPENDS  \$ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	NG \$5,00	0.)		-	86,663	
TOTAL EQUIPMENT         E. TRAVEL       1. DOMESTIC (INCL. CANADA AND U.S. POSSESSIONS)         2. FOREIGN         F. PARTICIPANT SUPPORT COSTS         1. STIPENDS         2. TRAVEL	NG \$5,00	0.)		-	86,663	
TOTAL EQUIPMENT E. TRAVEL 1. DOMESTIC (INCL. CANADA AND U.S. POSSESSIONS) 2. FOREIGN F. PARTICIPANT SUPPORT COSTS 1. STIPENDS 5. 0 2. TRAVEL 0 3. SUBSISTENCE 0 0	NG \$5,00	0.)		-	86,663	
TOTAL EQUIPMENT E. TRAVEL 1. DOMESTIC (INCL. CANADA AND U.S. POSSESSIONS) 2. FOREIGN F. PARTICIPANT SUPPORT COSTS 1. STIPENDS S C. TRAVEL C O 3. SUBSISTENCE O 4. OTHER O	NG \$5,00	0.)		-	86,663	
TOTAL EQUIPMENT         E. TRAVEL       1. DOMESTIC (INCL. CANADA AND U.S. POSSESSIONS)         2. FOREIGN         9         2. FOREIGN         9         2. TRAVEL         0         3. SUBSISTENCE         4. OTHER         0         (0) TOTAL PARTICIPANT COSTS	NG \$5,00	0.)			86,663	
TOTAL EQUIPMENT         E. TRAVEL       1. DOMESTIC (INCL. CANADA AND U.S. POSSESSIONS)	NG \$5,00	0.)			86,663 0	
TOTAL EQUIPMENT         E. TRAVEL       1. DOMESTIC (INCL. CANADA AND U.S. POSSESSIONS)	NG \$5,00	0.)		-	86,663 0 0	
TOTAL EQUIPMENT         E. TRAVEL       1. DOMESTIC (INCL. CANADA AND U.S. POSSESSIONS)         2. FOREIGN         9         2. FOREIGN         9         2. TRAVEL         0         3. SUBSISTENCE         0         4. OTHER         0         7. TOTAL PARTICIPANT COSTS         9. OTHER DIRECT COSTS         9. OTHER DIRECT COSTS         9. OTHER DIRECT COSTS         1. MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES         2. PUBLICATION COSTS/DOCUMENTATION/DISSEMINATION	NG \$5,00	0.)			86,663 0 0 0 0 10,833	
TOTAL EQUIPMENT         E. TRAVEL       1. DOMESTIC (INCL. CANADA AND U.S. POSSESSIONS)         2. FOREIGN         2. FOREIGN         F. PARTICIPANT SUPPORT COSTS         1. STIPENDS         2. TRAVEL         0         2. TRAVEL         0         3. SUBSISTENCE         0         4. OTHER         0         ( 0) TOTAL PARTICIPANT COSTS         G. OTHER DIRECT COSTS         1. MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES         2. PUBLICATION COSTS/DOCUMENTATION/DISSEMINATION         3. CONSULTANT SERVICES	NG \$5,00	0.)		-	86,663 0 0 0 0 10,833 0	
TOTAL EQUIPMENT         E. TRAVEL       1. DOMESTIC (INCL. CANADA AND U.S. POSSESSIONS)         2. FOREIGN         2. FOREIGN         F. PARTICIPANT SUPPORT COSTS         1. STIPENDS         2. TRAVEL         0         2. TRAVEL         0         3. SUBSISTENCE         4. OTHER         0         (0) TOTAL PARTICIPANT COSTS         6. OTHER DIRECT COSTS         1. MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES         2. PUBLICATION COSTS/DOCUMENTATION/DISSEMINATION         3. CONSULTANT SERVICES         4. COMPUTER SERVICES	NG \$5,00	0.)		3	86,663 0 0 0 0 10,833 0 0 0	
TOTAL EQUIPMENT         E. TRAVEL       1. DOMESTIC (INCL. CANADA AND U.S. POSSESSIONS)         2. FOREIGN         2. FOREIGN         F. PARTICIPANT SUPPORT COSTS         1. STIPENDS         2. TRAVEL         0         2. TRAVEL         0         3. SUBSISTENCE         0         4. OTHER         0         ( 0) TOTAL PARTICIPANT COSTS         G. OTHER DIRECT COSTS         1. MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES         2. PUBLICATION COSTS/DOCUMENTATION/DISSEMINATION         3. CONSULTANT SERVICES	NG \$5,00			3,	86,663 0 0 0 10,833 0 0 713,815	
TOTAL EQUIPMENT         E. TRAVEL       1. DOMESTIC (INCL. CANADA AND U.S. POSSESSIONS)         2. FOREIGN         2. FOREIGN         F. PARTICIPANT SUPPORT COSTS         0         2. TRAVEL         0         2. TRAVEL         0         3. SUBSISTENCE         4. OTHER         0         (0) TOTAL PARTICIPANT COSTS         G. OTHER DIRECT COSTS         1. MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES         2. PUBLICATION COSTS/DOCUMENTATION/DISSEMINATION         3. CONSULTANT SERVICES         4. COMPUTER SERVICES         5. SUBAWARDS	NG \$5,00				86,663 0 0 0 10,833 0 0 713,815 20,500	
TOTAL EQUIPMENT         E. TRAVEL       1. DOMESTIC (INCL. CANADA AND U.S. POSSESSIONS)         2. FOREIGN         2. FOREIGN         F. PARTICIPANT SUPPORT COSTS         1. STIPENDS         2. TRAVEL         0         2. TRAVEL         0         3. SUBSISTENCE         0         4. OTHER         0         ( 0) TOTAL PARTICIPANT COSTS         G. OTHER DIRECT COSTS         1. MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES         2. PUBLICATION COSTS/DOCUMENTATION/DISSEMINATION         3. CONSULTANT SERVICES         4. COMPUTER SERVICES         5. SUBAWARDS         6. OTHER         TOTAL OTHER DIRECT COSTS	NG \$5,00			3,	86,663 0 0 10,833 0 0 713,815 20,500 745,148	
TOTAL EQUIPMENT         E. TRAVEL       1. DOMESTIC (INCL. CANADA AND U.S. POSSESSIONS)         2. FOREIGN         2. FOREIGN         F. PARTICIPANT SUPPORT COSTS         1. STIPENDS         2. TRAVEL         0         2. TRAVEL         0         3. SUBSISTENCE         0         4. OTHER         0         ( 0) TOTAL PARTICIPANT COSTS         G. OTHER DIRECT COSTS         1. MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES         2. PUBLICATION COSTS/DOCUMENTATION/DISSEMINATION         3. CONSULTANT SERVICES         4. COMPUTER SERVICES         5. SUBAWARDS         6. OTHER         TOTAL OTHER DIRECT COSTS         H. TOTAL DIRECT COSTS (A THROUGH G)	NG \$5,00			3,	86,663 0 0 0 10,833 0 0 713,815 20,500	
TOTAL EQUIPMENT         E. TRAVEL       1. DOMESTIC (INCL. CANADA AND U.S. POSSESSIONS)         2. FOREIGN         2. FOREIGN         F. PARTICIPANT SUPPORT COSTS         1. STIPENDS         2. TRAVEL         0         2. TRAVEL         0         3. SUBSISTENCE         0         4. OTHER         0         ( 0) TOTAL PARTICIPANT COSTS         G. OTHER DIRECT COSTS         1. MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES         2. PUBLICATION COSTS/DOCUMENTATION/DISSEMINATION         3. CONSULTANT SERVICES         4. COMPUTER SERVICES         5. SUBAWARDS         6. OTHER         TOTAL OTHER DIRECT COSTS         H. TOTAL DIRECT COSTS (A THROUGH G)         I. INDIRECT COSTS (F&A)(SPECIFY RATE AND BASE)	NG \$5,00			3, 4,	86,663 0 0 10,833 0 713,815 20,500 745,148 465,134	
TOTAL EQUIPMENT         E. TRAVEL       1. DOMESTIC (INCL. CANADA AND U.S. POSSESSIONS)         2. FOREIGN         2. FOREIGN         F. PARTICIPANT SUPPORT COSTS         0         2. TRAVEL         0         3. SUBSISTENCE         0         4. OTHER         0         ( 0) TOTAL PARTICIPANT COSTS         G. OTHER DIRECT COSTS         1. MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES         2. PUBLICATION COSTS/DOCUMENTATION/DISSEMINATION         3. CONSULTANT SERVICES         4. COMPUTER SERVICES         5. SUBAWARDS         6. OTHER         TOTAL DIRECT COSTS (A THROUGH G)         1. INDIRECT COSTS (F&A)(SPECIFY RATE AND BASE)	NG \$5,00			3, 4,	86,663 0 0 0 10,833 0 0 713,815 20,500 7145,148 ,465,134 520,581	
TOTAL EQUIPMENT         E. TRAVEL       1. DOMESTIC (INCL. CANADA AND U.S. POSSESSIONS)         2. FOREIGN         9         2. FOREIGN         9         2. TRAVEL         0         3. SUBSISTENCE         0         4. OTHER         0         ( 0) TOTAL PARTICIPANT COSTS         6. OTHER DIRECT COSTS         1. MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES         2. PUBLICATION COSTS/DOCUMENTATION/DISSEMINATION         3. CONSULTANT SERVICES         4. COMPUTER SERVICES         5. SUBAWARDS         6. OTHER         TOTAL DIRECT COSTS (A THROUGH G)         1. INDIRECT COSTS (F&A)         J. TOTAL DIRECT COSTS (F&A)				3, 4,	86,663 0 0 10,833 0 713,815 20,500 745,148 465,134	
TOTAL EQUIPMENT         E. TRAVEL       1. DOMESTIC (INCL. CANADA AND U.S. POSSESSIONS)         2. FOREIGN         2. FOREIGN         F. PARTICIPANT SUPPORT COSTS         0         1. STIPENDS         0         2. TRAVEL         0         3. SUBSISTENCE         0         4. OTHER         0         ( 0) TOTAL PARTICIPANT COSTS         G. OTHER DIRECT COSTS         1. MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES         2. PUBLICATION COSTS/DOCUMENTATION/DISSEMINATION         3. CONSULTANT SERVICES         4. COMPUTER SERVICES         5. SUBAWARDS         6. OTHER         TOTAL OTHER DIRECT COSTS         H. TOTAL DIRECT COSTS (A THROUGH G)         1. INDIRECT COSTS (F&A)(SPECIFY RATE AND BASE)         TOTAL INDIRECT COSTS (F&A)         J. TOTAL DIRECT AND INDIRECT COSTS (H + I)         K. RESIDUAL FUNDS (IF FOR FURTHER SUPPORT OF CURRENT PROJECTS			.)	3, 4, 4,	86,663 0 0 10,833 0 0 713,815 20,500 745,148 465,134 520,581 985,715 0	
TOTAL EQUIPMENT         E. TRAVEL       1. DOMESTIC (INCL. CANADA AND U.S. POSSESSIONS)         2. FOREIGN         2. FOREIGN         8. SUPPORT SUPPORT COSTS         0. STIPENDS         0. CTRAVEL         0. SUBSISTENCE         0. O         4. OTHER         0. TOTAL PARTICIPANT COSTS         6. OTHER DIRECT COSTS         1. MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES         2. PUBLICATION COSTS/DOCUMENTATION/DISSEMINATION         3. CONSULTANT SERVICES         4. OTHER         TOTAL OSTS/DOCUMENTATION/DISSEMINATION         3. CONSULTANT SERVICES         6. OTHER         TOTAL OTHER DIRECT COSTS         H. TOTAL DIRECT COSTS (A THROUGH G)         1. INDIRECT COSTS (F&A) (SPECIFY RATE AND BASE)         TOTAL INDIRECT COSTS (F&A)         J. TOTAL DIRECT AND INDIRECT COSTS (H + I)         K. RESIDUAL FUNDS (IF FOR FURTHER SUPPORT OF CURRENT PROJECTS         L. AMOUNT OF THIS REQUEST (J) OR (J MINUS K)	SEE GP(	G II.D.7.j		3, 4, 4,	86,663 0 0 0 10,833 0 0 713,815 20,500 745,148 ,465,134 520,581 985,715	
TOTAL EQUIPMENT         E. TRAVEL       1. DOMESTIC (INCL. CANADA AND U.S. POSSESSIONS)         2. FOREIGN         2. FOREIGN         F. PARTICIPANT SUPPORT COSTS         0         2. TRAVEL         0         2. TRAVEL         0         3. SUBSISTENCE         0         4. OTHER         0         7 (10) TOTAL PARTICIPANT COSTS         6. OTHER DIRECT COSTS         1. MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES         2. PUBLICATION COSTS/DOCUMENTATION/DISSEMINATION         3. CONSULTANT SERVICES         4. COMPUTER SERVICES         5. SUBAWARDS         6. OTHER         TOTAL OTHER DIRECT COSTS         H. TOTAL DIRECT COSTS (A THROUGH G)         1. INDIRECT COSTS (F&A)         J. TOTAL DIRECT COSTS (F&A)         J. TOTAL DIRECT COSTS (F&A)         J. TOTAL DIRECT AND INDIRECT COSTS (H + I)         K. RESIDUAL FUNDS (IF FOR FURTHER SUPPORT OF CURRENT PROJECTS         L. AMOUNT OF THIS REQUEST (J) OR (J MINUS K)	SEE GP(	G II.D.7.j	IT \$	3, 4, 4, \$4,	86,663 0 0 10,833 0 0 713,815 20,500 745,148 465,134 520,581 985,715 0	
TOTAL EQUIPMENT         E. TRAVEL       1. DOMESTIC (INCL. CANADA AND U.S. POSSESSIONS)         2. FOREIGN         2. FOREIGN         F. PARTICIPANT SUPPORT COSTS         1. STIPENDS         2. TRAVEL         0         3. SUBSISTENCE         0         4. OTHER         0         ( 0) TOTAL PARTICIPANT COSTS         3. OTHER DIRECT COSTS         1. MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES         2. PUBLICATION COSTS/DOCUMENTATION/DISSEMINATION         3. CONSULTANT SERVICES         4. COMPUTER SERVICES         5. SUBAWARDS         6. OTHER         TOTAL DIRECT COSTS (A THROUGH G)         1. INDIRECT COSTS (F&A)         J. TOTAL DIRECT AND INDIRECT COSTS (H + I)         K. RESIDUAL FUNDS (IF FOR FURTHER SUPPORT OF CURRENT PROJECTS         L. AMOUNT OF THIS REQUEST (J) OR (J MINUS K)         M. COST SHARING PROPOSED LEVEL \$       0       AGREED LEV <td>SEE GP(</td> <td>G II.D.7.</td> <td>T \$ FOR I</td> <td>3, 4, 4, \$4, \$54,</td> <td>86,663 0 0 0 10,833 0 0 713,815 20,500 745,148 465,134 520,581 985,715 0 985,715</td> <td>\$</td>	SEE GP(	G II.D.7.	T \$ FOR I	3, 4, 4, \$4, \$54,	86,663 0 0 0 10,833 0 0 713,815 20,500 745,148 465,134 520,581 985,715 0 985,715	\$

NSF Form 1030 (10/98) Supersedes all previous editions

C\*SIGNATURES REQUIRED ONLY FOR REVISED BUDGET (GPG III.B)