


ByteCode Specialization (BCS): A Run-time Specialization Technique in JVM Bytecode




Hidehiko Masuhara

Dept. of Graphics and Computer Science

Graduate School of Arts and Sciences

University of Tokyo *masuhara@acm.org*




Overview: *ByteCode Specialization*


- BCS is a *run-time program specialization* technique for Java bytecode programs
 - *dynamically* optimizes programs using run-time values
 - may generate better code than traditional run-time specialization techniques by *exploiting JIT compilers*
 - 100% Pure Java

what is *Program Specialization*?

a technique to generate an *efficient program*
from a *generic program*
and *some parameters* (e.g., partial evaluation)


example:





advantages of *Run-Time* Specialization

- can optimize programs
by *using run-time values*
 - *eliminate computation* that depends on known parameters
 - performs high-level optimizations
e.g., method inlining whose target class can only be determined at run-time
e.g., loop unrolling, constant folding, ...




existing specialization techniques

- Partial evaluation: [Futamura71, Jones93, etc.]
 - source-to-source transformation
i.e., needs **compilation after specialization**
 - interpretive (= **slow**) specialization
- Run-time specialization [Engler94, Lee&Leone94, Consel96, etc.]
 - code generation at binary level
i.e., **very fast** specialization process
 - **less optimized** specialized code
 - highly dependent on the target architecture

ByteCode Specialization (BCS)

a run-time specialization technique
on Java Virtual Machine bytecode



Binding-Time Analysis in BCS

- directly analyzes bytecode programs (no source program is required)
- uses a *type system* for basic constraints + *flow analysis* for side-effects

a typing rule:

states of stack
before & after the instruction

$$\frac{P[pc] = \mathbf{iadd}, T_{pc} = \beta \cdot \gamma \cdot \sigma, T_{pc+1} = \alpha \cdot \sigma, \beta \leq \alpha, \gamma \leq \alpha, \alpha = B[pc]}{A, R, B, F, T, pc \triangleright P} \text{constraints}$$

Rules for Binding-Time Analysis

(extension of Stata&Abadi's [Stata&Abadi98])

	$P[pc] = \mathbf{iadd}$		
$P[pc] = \mathbf{iconst } n$	$F_{pc} \subseteq F_{pc+1}$	$P[pc] = \mathbf{iload } x$	$P[pc] = \mathbf{istore } x$
$F_{pc} \subseteq F_{pc+1}$	$T_{pc} = \alpha \cdot B[pc] \cdot \sigma$	$F_{pc} \subseteq F_{pc+1}$	$F_{pc}[x \mapsto B[pc]] \subseteq F_{pc+1}$
$T_{pc+1} = \alpha \cdot T_{pc}$	$T_{pc+1} = \beta \cdot \sigma$	$T_{pc+1} = \alpha \cdot T_{pc}$	$\alpha \cdot T_{pc+1} = T_{pc}$
$B[pc] \leq \alpha$	$\alpha \leq B[pc] \leq \beta$	$F_{pc}[x] = B[pc] \leq \alpha$	$\alpha \leq B[pc]$
$A, R, B, F, T, pc \vdash P$	$A, R, B, F, T, pc \vdash P$	$A, R, B, F, T, pc \vdash P$	$A, R, B, F, T, pc \vdash P$

	$P[pc] = \mathbf{invoke } m n$	
$P[pc] = \mathbf{ifne } L$	$F_{pc} \subseteq F_{pc+1}$	$P[pc] = \mathbf{ireturn}$
$F_{pc} \subseteq F_{pc+1}, F_{pc} \subseteq F_L$	$T_{pc} = A_m[n-1] \cdots A_m[0] \cdot \sigma$	$T_{pc} = \alpha \cdot \epsilon$
$\alpha \cdot T_L = \alpha \cdot T_{pc+1} = T_{pc}$	$T_{pc+1} = \alpha \cdot \sigma$	$\alpha \leq R[m]$
$\alpha \leq B[pc]$	$R[m] \leq \alpha$	$pc = \langle m, i \rangle$
$A, R, B, F, T, pc \vdash P$	$A, R, B, F, T, pc \vdash P$	$A, R, B, F, T, pc \vdash P$

construction of a *specializer*

- specializer is a program that
 - *performs* static instructions
 - *generates* dynamic instructions

analyzed bytecode

```
iload 1 : S
ifne L2 : S
L1:iconst 1: D
goto L0 : S
L2:iload 0 : D
iload 0 : D
iload 1 : S
iconst 1 : S
isub : S
:
```

specializer

```
iload 1
ifne L2
L1:GEN iconst 1
goto L0
L2:GEN iload 0
GEN iload 0
iload 1
iconst 1
isub
```

static parameters


JVM
bytecode

```
iload 0
iload 0
:
```

code-
generating
instruction

Performance: *specialized code*

- 3x faster than unspecialized
- 3x faster than traditional RTS
- close to offline specialization




*does not include specialization time

Pentium II 300MHz, Sun JDK
1.1.7+Symantec JIT, GCC 2.7.2


Performance: *specialization speed*

- *much faster* than traditional compilers
- overheads in JVM class loader?



Pentium II 300MHz, Sun JDK
1.1.7+Symantec JIT, GCC 2.7.2

with JIT without JIT



Future work

- extend the system to the full version of JVM
- better integration with existing programs (*e.g.*, specialization classes [Volanschi97])
- for more info:
 - "Run-Time Program Specialization in Java Bytecode"* in Proc. of SPA'99. (available from <http://www.graco.c.u-tokyo.ac.jp/~masuhara/BCS>)