



#### **IEEE Draft Discussion**

Dr. Judith Dahmann, DMSO

9 December 1998

### **Background and Purpose**

#### Background

- At AMG25
  - Reviewed issues raised with Draft1 of the IEEE draft HLA standard (1516) and WG recommendations
  - Supported the recommended WG actions
- At AMG26
  - Reviewed Draft2 of the IEEE draft 1516 and outstanding issues
  - Endorsed Draft2 and supported recommended actions to submit comments on Draft2
- At AMG27
  - Reviewed the results of the Draft2 WG process, plans for Draft3, and outstanding issues
  - Supported recommended actions/comments

#### Purpose

- Review results of the Draft 3 WG process and subsequent plans
- Review outstanding issues and proposed actions

### **IEEE 1516 Progress**

- Draft 3
  - released 16 November
  - comment period 16 November 7 December
  - contains all AMG recommended changes
- WG comment telecons 3rd week in December
  - drafting teams & assigned reviewers
  - discuss recommended responses to comments
- Next WG meeting is 5 7 January
  - SPAWAR, San Diego
- Resulting Draft 4 will either
  - go forward for balloting, or
  - begin another comment cycle

## **Review of AMG27 Outstanding Issues**

- As discussed at AMG27
  - Several AMG comments accepted by IEEE HLA WG and now incorporated into Draft 3
    - User supplied time
    - Unique object instance handles
    - OMT tables and data typing
  - With the issuance of Draft 3, there were a set of issues still to be addressed
    - XML usage
    - Federate failure notification
    - Multiple routing spaces
- In following slides, issues are reviewed and current status is described
  - Each issue is discussed in terms of the user need, background on AMG experience with the issues, and recommended actions

# XML to Support HLA DIFs

#### From AMG27:

- Background
  - HLA DIFs (OMT, FED) need to be updated to reflect changes in specifications (e.g. OMT tables)
  - With the spec review for standardization, option for use of an industry standard to support HLA DIFs was considered
- Current HLA DIF specification uses BNF
  - Offers a great deal of flexibility
  - Well suited to early development phase
  - Allows/requires user to customize 'grammar' to particular needs of application
- XML (eXtensible Markup Language) provides an industry standard option to support HLA DIFs
  - We are beyond development phase with HLA DIFs; good time to consider standard approaches
- Assessment was conducted to evaluate advisability of XML to support HLA DIFs
  - Technically
  - Business case perspective

### XML to Support HLA DIF - Status

- Draft 3 did not include an updated DIF
- AMG27 concurred with recommendation to propose use of XML for DIF
- Drafted XML DTD and submitted as a Draft3 comment
  - Reviewed our draft DTD and implementation with industry 'experts'
  - Unified HLA OMT and FED into single XML DTD
- Technical exchange on XML is scheduled for this AMG
  - XML in general
  - XML application to HLA DIF
  - Discussion of experiments

#### **Federation Execution Failure Model**

#### AMG26 discussion

- Issue
  - Execution managers have difficulties determining the status of participating federates in the presence of certain failures
  - Internally the RTI is aware of failures on the part of participating federates and it is desireable for this information to be made available to federates
- Recommendation
  - Add federate status information to the MOM
  - Perform additional research on a standard Federation Execution Failure Model (what does 'failure' mean under different RTI development strategies)
- Action
  - Submit comment to IEEE SDG
- Still pending as of AMG 27 due to ambiguity of proposed action
- Recommendation that no action be taken at this point

## **Multiple Routing Spaces - History**

- AMG26 discussion
  - Issue
    - RPR-FOM group has asked that a given class attribute/interaction class be allowed to have multiple routing spaces assigned to it.
  - AMG/HLA Experience/Assessment
    - DDM assessment during review of STOW experience suggested that the current routing space flexibility supported the range of anticipated uses and can be implemented efficiently
  - Recommendation
    - No change to Spec at this time
  - Action
    - Investigate with actual users (Perceptronics and LADS) the extent to which there may be real limits in the current specification and possible options for addressing these
      - Assess how applications are supported with current, thorough experimentation
- Investigation was underway as of AMG 27

### **Multiple Routing Spaces**

#### Update

- Investigation has identified an alternative to current DDM mechanism
  - single multi-dimensional routing space
  - addresses outstanding issue
- Investigation (based on implementation experience, Perceptronics) of new mechanism complete
  - built middle-ware layer implementing new mechanism on top of existing RTI and exercised existing DDM use cases
- New mechanism solves outstanding issue, is viable overall, and "fits" into I/F spec
  - has added advantages by protecting existing DDM users from additions to routing dimensions

#### Status/Recommendation

- Draft 3 comment with detailed description of new mechanism and its impact on all parts of standard was submitted last week to meet SDG deadline pending AMG discussion
- Copies of WG submission available at AMG meeting
- Technical exchange scheduled for 17 December at DMSO

## **Next Steps**

- DDM technical exchange to review proposed changes to allow for more DDM flexibility, 17 December at DMSO, 9-3
  - Results will be reflected in WG presentation in January
- Urge AMG technical participation at the Draft 3 review in San Diego, January 5-7
- Urge AMG members and their representations have IEEE memberships active so they can participate in balloting process
  - Including membership in Standards Association